

CP1091 Attachment 1 – List of Proposed Housekeeping Changes

Ref.	Document	Areas Affected	Description of Change
1.	BSCP01	3.3	The diagram in section 3.3 is very small, and therefore hard to read. While the page can be enlarged on the screen to make it readable, for printed versions this would be a problem. The diagram itself should be enlarged to fit the page.
2.	BSCP02	1.1.2	The reference to BSCP502 should be replaced with BSCP514.
3.	BSCP06	1.1	This section contains a reference to BSCP522. This is not a current BSCP and the reference should be replaced with BSCP514.
4.	BSCP15	1.5	The detail of BSCP15 refers to BSCP509 on several occasions. However, in the references section it does not list BSCP509 as an Associated BSC Procedure. An entry for BSCP509 should be included for completeness.
		General	<p>Much of the terminology in the Code and BSCP15 relating to DC and GC and magnitudes of BM Unit Metered Volumes is ambiguous and open to differing interpretations. In particular, the use of the term 'maximum magnitude' in relation to positive and negative values of BM Unit Metered Volumes is causing confusion. A review of the wording has been conducted by ELEXON and the results presented to ISG (ref paper ISG/42/005). The changes proposed to BSCP15 are contained in Attachment 2 of this Change Proposal.</p> <p>Note that the necessary changes to the Code identified by the review will be progressed via a Housekeeping Modification.</p>
5.	BSCP31	General	There are a number of references to '[P100]' in the body of the text. These references are intended only for change management purposes and not for the final clean document, and so should be removed.
6.	BSCP40	3.2.6	The 'When' box for this step is unclear as it currently states: 'Within If proposed change has potential impact on Parties / Party Agents'. The 'Within' should be removed.

Ref.	Document	Areas Affected	Description of Change
7.	BSCP76	General	There are a number of formatting inconsistencies identified between BSCP76 and the standard BSCP conventions. As the inconsistencies had existed since Go-Live, these are of a minor nature, but it would be advantageous to modify BSCP76 at an opportune moment in order to bring about consistency with the style of other BSCPs.
8.	BSCP501	3.3.8	Footnote 10 in section 3.3.8 of BSCP501 states that: 'All continuous preceding NHHDA's that were appointed for the current Supplier Registration, in the <u>period</u> 15 months of the current Supplier Registration, prior to the change.' The word 'period' is incorrect and should be replaced with 'previous'.
		1.6	Section 1.6 (definitions) says 'refer to Part 1 of the Code'. Since there is no Part 1 of the Code this should be removed. Instead words to the effect of 'All other terms are as defined in the Balancing and Settlement Code' should be added.
9.	BSCP502	4.6.5, footnote 29	This footnote refers to Section 4.61. This reference should in fact be to Section 4.6.1.
		4.1.8	When CP873 was implemented, section 4.4 of BSCP502 was merged into section 4.1.8, however a reference to section 4.4 in 4.1.8 still remains. Therefore, the phrase 'in appendix 4.4' in section 4.1.8 should be replaced with 'above'.
10.	BSCP504	3.2.4.1	The flow D0302 has the wrong name - it should be Notification of Customer Details
		2.2.3, 2.2.4, 2.2.5, 3.2.3, 3.2.4 & 3.2.5	The '(effective from 1 April 2000)' wording in the titles of sections should be removed as this date has now passed
		1.2	The line stating 'The role of the NHHDC shall be carried out by the Host PES for a 2 year period from 1 April 1998' should be removed as it is no longer relevant.
		3.1.2.2	In the 'To' column for this step, 'NHHCA' should be 'NHHDC'
11.	BSCP504 and BSCP508	3.1.1.2 (BSCP504) 3.7.7. (BSCP508)	The title of the D0227 Data Flow is incorrect in these two BSCPs. In these documents, the flow is titled 'Pool Market Domain data File' however it should be titled 'BSCCo Market Domain Data File'.
12.	BSCP505	3.2.3.6	There is a typo in this step - 'S,MRA' should be 'SMRA'
13.	BSCP509	MDD Entity Form 1	BSCP509 MDD Entity Form 1 consists of a table with three columns: Market Participant

Ref.	Document	Areas Affected	Description of Change
			<p>Id, Market Participant Name, and Party Id. A description is provided for each beneath the table.</p> <p>For Party Id it states:</p> <p><i>"For Suppliers this field is mandatory, and should be the same as the Market Participant Id (unless otherwise agreed with BSCCo). For non-Suppliers, this field is not required, and should be left blank. [4 characters]"</i></p> <p>Although it is stated that this Party Id is the same as the Market Participant Id, it could cause some confusion to new entrants with another definition of Party Id, referred to in the Simple Guide to Market Entry. Here, the Party Id is made up of 8 alphanumeric characters and is used to identify Parties in the NETA Central Systems. BSCP65 is used to register this Party Id.</p> <p>The reference to Party Id should be changed to BSC Trading Party Id, and the description amended to explain the circumstances where the BSC Trading Party Id and Market Participant Id may not be the same (e.g. where the Supplier Id has been transferred due to a trade sale). It would also be useful to include an example where the Market Participant Id and BSC Trading Party Id <i>are</i> different – a real-life demonstration of this would be Market Participant Id=ENRD, Market Participant Name=British Gas Trading, and BSC Trading Party Id=BGAS.</p>
14.	BSCP510	General	This BSCP contains references to ELEXON – these should be replaced with references to BSCCo in order to be consistent with other Code Subsidiary Documents
15.	BSCP512	4.9.1.7 & 4.9.2.14	BSCP512 contains references to Entry Process interface tests for the use of the D0265 between LDSOs and HHDAs, and LDSOs and Suppliers. However the new process under Modification P116 is for the D0265 Line Loss Factor Data File to be distributed to Suppliers and HHDAs via the BSC Website rather than being sent over the DTN by LDSOs. This interface test is therefore no longer required and should

Ref.	Document	Areas Affected	Description of Change
			be removed from the documentation.
16.	BSCP514	8.4.8a	There are three references to Form BSCP514/6.7b and should read BSCP514/8.4.8b
		6.2.1.5 & 6.2.4.5	In these two sections wording within 'Information Required' says: ".....the current HHMOA has a" However this is change of NHHMOA and change of Supplier and NHHMOA so should read ".....the current NHHMOA has a"
		6.2.4.5	The Information Required box includes a reference to HHMOA – this this should be NHHMOA.
17.	BSCP520	2.1	The workflow diagram in section 2.1 is titled 'Connection of a new UMS', process diagram 3.1 is titled 'Establishment of a new UMS Inventory'. These processes should have the same title.
18.	BSCP550	1.2	Footnote 2 states that 'In the case of Transfers of Registration between CMRS and SMRS, this Metering Equipment may form a "Technical Group" as described in BSCP68, Section 4.3', however the concept of technical groups was removed from BSCP68 when P55 was implemented. Therefore the whole of this footnote should be deleted.
19.	BSCP601	2.1.12 & 2.3.10	Section 2.1.2 refers to the appendix 3.3 protocol approval list and Section 2.3.10 refers to compliance test list. These lists were removed from BSCP601 in the June 04 SVA release and placed on the BSC Website as stand alone documents - the references to them should therefore be modified to reflect the current situation.

Ref.	Document	Areas Affected	Description of Change
20.	CDCA Service Description	Appendix B	<p>The timescales for the sending of aggregated data to the SAA and the relevant BSC Parties includes a requirement for the CDCA to send data at 'Settlement Day + 1'. However in practice the earliest the CDCA issues this data is by Settlement Day + 4. This timescale has been agreed as being acceptable and a derogation has been raised against the CDCA Service Description in relation to the 'Settlement Day + 1' requirement. A change is therefore required to the Service Description to bring it into line with operational procedure.</p> <p>The timescales for aggregated data volumes in Appendix B of the CDCA Service Description should be modified to read:</p> <p><i>"Settlement Day + 4 and Settlement Day + 14, or as appropriate to comply with the Payment Calendar and the Settlement Calendar".</i></p> <p>This change should be carried out for all the timescales relating to aggregated data issued to the SAA and the relevant BSC Parties, and so should cover the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aggregated Meter Flow volumes; • Aggregated Interconnector Meter Flow volumes; • Aggregated BM Unit Metered Volumes; and • Aggregated GSP Group Take.
21.	CVA TAA Service Description	General	The terms 'Code' and 'BSC' are used interchangeably throughout the document. For consistency, once suitably defined, only one of the terms should be used throughout.
		3.1.1	This section refers to the Appendices of the Service Description, however these were removed in version 6.0 of the document and so reference to them should be removed.
22.	CDCA, BMRA, ECVA, CRA,	General	These Service Descriptions should be restructured to include a title page, contents page and a statement of the Intellectual Property Rights (IRP) of ELEXON in

Ref.	Document	Areas Affected	Description of Change
	FAA and SAA Service Descriptions		<p>relation to these documents. An appropriate copyright statement should also be included as a footer of each page.</p> <p>The required IRP statement is as follows:</p> <p>Intellectual Property Rights and Copyright - This document contains materials the copyright and other intellectual property rights in which are vested in ELEXON Limited or which appear with the consent of the copyright owner. These materials are made available for you to review and to copy for the purposes of your establishment or operation of or participation in electricity trading arrangements under the Balancing and Settlement Code ("BSC"). All other commercial use is prohibited. Unless you are a person having such an interest in electricity trading under the BSC you are not permitted to view, download, modify, copy, distribute, transmit, store, reproduce or otherwise use, publish, licence, transfer, sell or create derivative works (in whatever format) from this document or any information obtained from this document otherwise than for personal academic or other non-commercial purposes. All copyright and other proprietary notices contained in the original material must be retained on any copy that you make. All other rights of the copyright owner not expressly dealt with above are reserved.</p> <p>Disclaimer - No representation, warranty or guarantee is made that the information provided is accurate, current or complete. Whilst care is taken in the collection and provision of this information, ELEXON Limited will not be liable for any errors, omissions, misstatements or mistakes in any information or damages resulting from the use of this information or any decision made or action taken in reliance on this information.</p>
23.	ECVAA URS	ECVAA-I023	ECVAA-I023 states that the 'BSC Section D Charging Data' flow is issued on the 21 st Working Day of each month. This should be altered to state '15 th Working Day' in line with operational practice and also the wording of the corresponding entry in the IDD.
24.	FAA URS	FAA-R007 and	The 'Declare Defaults' function FAA-R007 references the FAA's ability to draw on a

Ref.	Document	Areas Affected	Description of Change
		Appendix III	<p>cash deposit, Letter of Credit or Parent Company Guarantee in order to claim payment of credit or payment default amounts. This is inconsistent with the 'Manage Credit Cover' function FAA-R002, which only lists cash deposits and Letters of Credit as legitimate forms of Credit Cover.</p> <p>It has been confirmed that the Code does not allow Credit Cover by way of Parent Company Guarantee, therefore reference to this should be removed from FAA-R007 as well as Appendix III of the FAA URS.</p>
25.	PSL120	General	<p>PSL120 has a number of instances of a paragraph, followed by a line stating 'This clause x.x.x (i.e. the clause above) will be superseded by clause x.x.x with effect from 1st April 2000'. The next clause then goes on to state 'With effect from 1st April 2000, the following clause x.x.x shall supersede clause x.x.x above.' This occurs frequently and makes the document very confusing to read.</p> <p>Redundant clauses should be removed and replaced with current clauses as follows: Delete 1.1.1.1, sentence below 1.1.1.1 and 1.1.1.2 Renumber 1.1.1.2.1 as 1.1.1.1 1.1.1.2 – write 'this clause no longer used' Make the following change to 1.1.1.3: With effect from 1st April 2000, tThe following clauses shall apply. Delete 1.3.2.2, sentence below 1.3.2.2 and 1.3.2.3 Renumber 1.3.2.3.1 as 1.3.2.2 1.3.2.3 – write 'this clause no longer used' Make the following change to 1.3.2.4: With effect from 1st April 2000, tThe following clauses, 1.3.2.4.1, 1.3.2.4.2 and 1.3.2.4.3 shall apply. Make the following change to 1.3.2.4.3 Notwithstanding 1.2.2 or 1.3.2.3.1 with effect from 1st April 2000 above, on expiry... Delete 1.5.4.2 e Move and number 1.5.4.2.1.1 as 1.5.4.2e</p>

Ref.	Document	Areas Affected	Description of Change
			<p>Delete the text after 1.5.4.2f (i.e. This clause e will be superseded by clause 1.5.4.2.1.1 with effect from 1st April 2000)</p> <p>Delete 1.5.4.2.1</p> <p>Delete 5.1.3.2.1, sentence below 5.1.3.2.1 and 5.1.3.2.1.1</p> <p>Renumber 5.1.3.2.1.1.1 as 5.1.3.2.1</p> <p>Delete 5.1.3.3.1, sentence below 5.1.3.3.1 and 5.1.3.3.2</p> <p>Renumber 5.1.3.3.2.1 as 5.1.3.3.1</p>
26.	Reporting Catalogue	5.2.1	<p>This section contains the following footnote: "<i>Note to reviewers – Transmission Loss Factor should have been added for P100, but was already included here so no change.</i>"</p> <p>As a note to reviewers this is only appropriate for draft documentation and should be removed from the baselined version.</p>