

Responses from P126 Draft Report Consultation

Consultation issued 19 May 2003

Representations were received from the following parties:

No	Company	File Number	No. BSC Parties Represented	No. Non-Parties Represented
1.	EdF Trading Ltd & EDF (Generation)	P126_DR_001	2	0
2.	NGC	P126_DR_002	1	0
3.	Scottish Power	P126_DR_003	6	0
4.	Aquila Networks	P126_DR_004	1	0
5.	British Gas Trading	P126_DR_005	1	0
6.	Scottish and Southern	P126_DR_006	4	0
7.	LE Group	P126_DR_007	9	0

P126_DR_001 – EdF Trading Ltd & EDF (Generation)

Respondent:	<i>Name Steve Drummond</i>
No. of BSC Parties Represented	2
BSC Parties Represented	<i>EdF Trading Ltd EDF (Generation)</i>
No. of Non BSC Parties Represented	
Non BSC Parties represented	<i>Please list all non BSC Parties responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant).</i>
Role of Respondent	<i>Generator/Trader</i>

	Question	Response	Rationale
	Do you agree with the Panel's views on P126 and the provisional recommendation to the Authority contained in the draft Modification Report that P126 should be made? Please give rationale.	Yes	The changes provide clarity and hence aid efficiency.
	Do you agree with the Panel's view that the legal text provided in the draft Modification Report correctly addresses the defect or issue identified in the Modification Proposal? Please give rationale.	Yes	
	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation concerning the Implementation Date for P126? Please give rationale.	Yes	
	Are there any further comments on P126 that you wish to make?	No	

P126_DR_002 – NGC

Respondent:	<i>Name</i> Clare Talbot
No. of BSC Parties Represented	One
BSC Parties Represented	<i>Please list all BSC Parties responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant)</i> National Grid.
No. of Non BSC Parties Represented	N/A
Non BSC Parties represented	<i>Please list all non BSC Parties responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant).</i> N/A
Role of Respondent	<i>(Supplier/Generator/ Trader / Consolidator / Exemptable Generator / BSC Agent / Party Agent / other – please state)</i> BSC Party

	Question	Response	Rationale
	Do you agree with the Panel's views on P126 and the provisional recommendation to the Authority contained in the draft Modification Report that P126 should be made? Please give rationale.	Yes	We agree with the Panel that this modification will better facilitate BSC objective (d) by addressing defects which could potentially impact a Party's understanding of the Code.
	Do you agree with the Panel's view that the legal text provided in the draft Modification Report correctly addresses the defect or issue identified in the Modification Proposal? Please give rationale.	Yes	We agree that the legal text addresses the defect identified by clarifying the Code where errors or omissions have been identified.
	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation concerning the Implementation Date for P126? Please give rationale.	Yes	As the proposed changes have no systems impacts we support the proposed implementation date of 15 working days after the Authority Decision.
	Are there any further comments on P126 that you wish to make?	No	

P126_DR_003 – Scottish Power

Respondent:	<i>Name</i> John W Russell (SAIC Ltd)
No. of BSC Parties Represented	6
BSC Parties Represented	<i>Please list all BSC Parties responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant).</i> Scottish Power UK plc; ScottishPower Energy Trading Ltd.; ScottishPower Generation Ltd; ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd.; SP Transmission Ltd; SP Manweb plc.
No. of Non BSC Parties Represented	
Non BSC Parties represented	<i>Please list all non BSC Parties responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant).</i>
Role of Respondent	Supplier / Generator / Trader / Consolidator / Exemptable Generator / Party Agent

Q	Question	Response	Rationale
1.	Do you agree with the Panel's views on P126 and the provisional recommendation to the Authority contained in the draft Modification Report that P126 should be made? Please give rationale.	Yes	<i>We agree that the proposed changes to address a number of minor inconsistencies, typographical errors and omissions within the BSC should be made.</i>
2.	Do you agree with the Panel's view that the legal text provided in the draft Modification Report correctly addresses the defect or issue identified in the Modification Proposal? Please give rationale.	Yes	<i>We agree that the legal test appears to be appropriate.</i>
3.	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation concerning the Implementation Date for P126? Please give rationale.	Yes	<i>We agree that due to the minor nature of these changes, with no systems impact, that 15wd is sufficient notification.</i>
4.	Are there any further comments on P126 that you wish to make?	No	

P126_DR_004 – Aquila Networks

Please find Aquila Networks Plc response to P126 Consultation on draft Modification Report is 'No Comment'.

regards
Rachael Gardener

Deregulation Control Group &
Distribution Support Office
AQUILA NETWORKS

P126_DR_005 – British Gas Trading

Dear Sirs,

Re: Modification Proposal P126 – BSC Housekeeping Modification

Thank you for the opportunity of responding to this draft modification report considering Modification Proposal P126. British Gas Trading (BGT) agrees with the Panel's provisional recommendation supporting the Modification Proposal and concurs that it will better facilitate Applicable BSC Objective (d).

Due to the minor nature of the changes being proposed, BGT agree with the recommended implementation date of 15 working days following the Authority decision.

Yours faithfully

Mark Manley
Contract Manager

P126_DR_006 – Scottish and Southern

Dear Sirs,

This response is sent on behalf of Scottish and Southern Energy, Southern Electric, Keadby Generation Ltd. and SSE Energy Supply Ltd.

Further to your note of 19th May 2003, and the associated Modification Report for P126, we agree with the proposed BSC Panel recommendation to the Authority that this Modification Proposal P126 should be made.

If the Modification Proposal P126 is approved, we agree with the proposed BSC Panel recommendation on the timing for the Implementation Date, as outlined in Section 1.1 of the Modification Report.

Regards

Garth Graham
Scottish & Southern Energy plc

P126_DR_007 – LE Group

Respondent:	Paul Chesterman
No. of BSC Parties Represented	9
BSC Parties Represented	LE Group plc, London Electricity plc, Jade Power Generation Ltd, Sutton Bridge Power Ltd, West Burton Power, London Power Networks plc, EPN Distribution Ltd, Seeboard Power Networks plc, Seeboard Energy Ltd,
No. of Non BSC Parties Represented	1 (this is a BSC Party Agent)
Non BSC Parties represented	ECS Metering & Data Services
Role of Respondent	Supplier / Generator / Party Agent / Distribution Business

Q	Question	Response	Rationale
1.	Do you agree with the Panel's views on P126 and the provisional recommendation to the Authority contained in the draft Modification Report that P126 should be made? Please give rationale.	Yes	
2.	Do you agree with the Panel's view that the legal text provided in the draft Modification Report correctly addresses the defect or issue identified in the Modification Proposal? Please give rationale.	Yes	
3.	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation concerning the Implementation Date for P126? Please give rationale.	Yes	
4.	Are there any further comments on P126 that you wish to make?	No	