## Responses from P131 Draft Report Consultation

Consultation issued 17 December 2003

Representations were received from the following parties:

No	Company	File Number	No. BSC Parties Represented	No. Non-Parties Represented
1.	National Grid	P131_MR_001	1	0
2.	British Gas Trading	P131_MR_002	1	0
3.	Aquila Networks Plc	P131_MR_003	1	0
4.	Scottish Power UK plc	P131_MR_004	6	0
5.	RWE Trading	P131_MR_005	10	0
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				

# P131\_MR\_001 – National Grid

Respondent:	Clare Talbot
No. of BSC Parties	One
Represented	
BSC Parties Represented	Please list all BSC Parties responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant). National Grid
No. of Non BSC Parties	None
Represented	
Non BSC Parties	Please list all non BSC Parties responding on behalf of (including the
represented	respondent company if relevant). None
Role of Respondent	(Supplier/Generator/ Trader / Consolidator / Exemptable Generator / BSC Agent / Party Agent / other – please state <sup>1</sup> ) BSC Party

1.1	1.2 Question	1.3	Respo	1.4 Rationale
			nse <sup>1</sup>	
1.	Do you agree with the Panel's views on P131 and the provisional recommendation to the Authority contained in the draft Modification Report that P131 should be made?	Yes		We agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation for approval of the modification and support the principle to incorporate provisions in the Code for the timely detection and prompt rectification of
	Please give rationale.			Trading Disputes.
2.	Do you agree with the Panel's view that the legal text provided in the draft Modification Report correctly addresses the defect or issue identified in the Modification Proposal? Please give rationale.	Yes		
3.	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation concerning the Implementation Date for P131? Please give rationale.	Yes		We believe the planned implementation process provides an adequate period for Parties to make the necessary changes to their internal working processes to adopt the new obligations.
4.	Are there any further comments on P131 that you wish to make?	No		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Delete as appropriate – please do not use strikeout, this is to make it easier to analyse the responses



energy management group

Charter Court 50 Windsor Road Slough Berkshire SL1 2HA

Tel. (01753) 758137 Fax (01753) 758368 Our Ref. Your Ref. 2 January 2004

Dear Sirs,

**ELEXON** Limited

350 Euston Road

4<sup>th</sup> Floor

London

**NW1 3AW** 

# Re: Modification Proposal P131 – Introduction of Further provisions relating to the Determination of Trading Disputes

Thank you for the opportunity of responding to this draft modification report considering Modification Proposal P131. British Gas Trading (BGT) agrees that the Modification Proposal will better facilitate Applicable BSC Objective (c) as it should encourage timely detection of errors and improve the overall accuracy of data in Settlement.

BGT agree with the recommended implementation date for P131. P131 reintroduces time based criteria which must be complied with in order for a Trading Dispute to be upheld by the TDC. The recommended implementation dates will allow ELEXON to communicate the new requirements to Parties via an education workshop and thereby enable Parties to make any necessary changes to their working procedures.

If you have any questions regarding this response please contact me 01753 758137.

Yours faithfully

Mark Manley Contract Manager

#### P131\_MR\_003 – Aquila Netwoks Plc

#### Good Morning,

Aquila Networks PLC would like to return a response of 'No Comment' to P131 Consultation on Draft Modification Report.

Regards,

Deborah Hayward Distribution Support Office & Deregulation Control Group Aquila Networks plc

# P131\_MR\_004- Scottish Power UK plc

Respondent:	Man Kwong Liu (SAIC Ltd)		
No. of BSC Parties	6		
Represented			
BSC Parties Represented	Please list all BSC Parties responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant). Scottish Power UK plc; ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd.;		
	ScottishPower Generation Ltd; ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd.; SP Transmission Ltd; SP Manweb plc.		
No. of Non BSC Parties Represented	0		
Non BSC Parties represented	Please list all non BSC Parties responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant).		
Role of Respondent	(Supplier/Generator/ Trader / Consolidator / Exemptable Generator / BSC Agent / Party Agent / other – please state <sup>2</sup> ) Supplier / Generator / Trader / Consolidator / Exemptable Generator / Party Agent		

1.5	Question	Response <sup>1</sup>	Rationale
1.	Do you agree with the Panel's views on P131 and the provisional recommendation to the Authority contained in the draft Modification Report that P131 should be made? Please give rationale.	Yes	We believe that by having clearly laid down criteria for trading disputes, it would enable timely resolution of any settlement errors, which could improve the liquidity of smaller companies. This would better facilitate the achievement of the Applicable BSC Objectives (c) – promote effective competition. However, we also believe that having objective detailed criteria would also improve the trading dispute process for the TDC in its determination and for BSC parties in raising any potential disputes. This would better facilitate the achievement of the Applicable BSC Objectives (d) – promote efficiency. 1.6
2.	Do you agree with the Panel's view that the legal text provided in the draft Modification Report correctly addresses the defect or issue identified in the Modification Proposal? Please give rationale.	Yes	We agreed that the legal text is appropriate.
3.	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation concerning the Implementation Date for P131? Please give rationale.	Yes	While we prefer as early an implementation as possible, we accept the recommendation that the proposed implementation date would be the most appropriate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Delete as appropriate – please do not use strikeout, this is to make it easier to analyse the responses

1.5	Question	Response <sup>1</sup>	Rationale
4.	Are there any further comments on	1.7 No	1.8
	P131 that you wish to make?		

## P131\_MR\_005- RWE Trading

Respondent:	Mark Thomas
No. of BSC Parties	10
Represented	
BSC Parties Represented	RWE Trading, RWE Innogy Group (RWE Innogy plc, Innogy Cogen Limited, Innogy Cogen Trading Limited, Npower Limited, Npower Direct Limited, Npower Northern Limited, Npower Northern Supply Limited, Npower Yorkshire Limited and Npower Yorkshire Supply Limited)
No. of Non BSC Parties	
Represented	
Non BSC Parties	
represented	
Role of Respondent	(Supplier/Generator/ Trader / BSC Agent )

1.9	Question	Response <sup>1</sup>	Rationale
1.	Do you agree with the Panel's views on	Yes	
	P131 and the provisional		
	recommendation to the Authority		
	contained in the draft Modification		
	Report that P131 should be made?		
	Please give rationale.		
2.	Do you agree with the Panel's view that	Yes	
	the legal text provided in the draft		
	Modification Report correctly addresses		
	the defect or issue identified in the		
	Modification Proposal?		
	Please give rationale.		
3.	Do you agree with the Panel's	Yes	We agree that the modification should be
	provisional recommendation concerning		implemented in a scheduled release to
	the Implementation Date for P131?		avoid unnecessary extra cost.
	Please give rationale.		
4.	Are there any further comments on	No	
	P131 that you wish to make?		