Responses from P169 Draft Report Consultation

Consultation Issued 21 October 2004

Representations were received from the following parties

No	Company	File number	No BSC Parties Represented	No Non-Parties Represented
1.	E.ON UK	P169 DR 001	15	0
2.	RWE NPower	P169 DR 002	10	0
3.	EDF Energy	P169_DR_003	9	0
4.	BGT	P169_DR_004	1	0

P169 REPORT PHASE CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

BSC Parties ("Parties") and other interested parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views or provide any further evidence on any of the matters contained within this document. In particular views are sought in respect of the following questions. Parties are invited to supply the rationale for their responses.

Respondent:	E.ON UK plc		
No. of Parties Represented	15		
Parties Represented	E.ON UK plc, Powergen Retail Limited, Cottam Development Centre Limited, Enizade Ltd, E.On UK Drakelow Limited, E.On UK Ironbridge Limited, E.On UK High Marnham Limited, Midlands Gas Limited, Western Gas Limited, TXU Europe (AHG) Limited, TXU Europe (AH Online) Limited, Citigen (London) Limited, Severn Trent Energy Limited (known as TXU Europe (AHST) Limited), TXU Europe (AHGD) Limited and Ownlabel Energy		
No. of Non Parties	N/A		
Represented			
Non Parties represented	N/A		
Role of Respondent	Supplier, Generator, Trader, Consolidator, Exemptable Generator and Party Agent		

Q	Question	Response Error! Bookmark not defined.	Rationale
1.	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation to the Authority contained in the draft Modification Report that the Alternative Modification P169 should be made? Please give rationale.	Yes	The Alternative Modification contains a greater level of information compared to the Proposed. As a result we believe that it enhances transparency and therefore competition to a higher degree.
2.	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation to the Authority contained in the draft Modification Report that the Proposed Modification P169 should not be made? Please give rationale.	Yes	Whilst the Proposed Modification would better facilitate the applicable BSC objectives, we believe that the Alternative Modification is superior. (Please see above for rationale).
3.	Do you agree with the Panel's view that the legal text provided in the draft Modification Report correctly addresses the defect or issue identified in the Modification Proposal? Please give rationale.	Yes	
4.	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation concerning the Implementation Date for P169? Please give rationale.	Yes	
5.	Are there any further comments on P169 that you wish to make?	No	

Please send your responses by **17:00** on **Thursday 28 October 2004** to <u>modification.consultations@elexon.co.uk</u> and please entitle your email **`P169 Report Phase Consultation**'. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Panel.

Any queries on the content of the consultation pro-forma should be addressed to Kathryn Coffin on 020 7380 4030, email address <u>kathryn.coffin@elexon.co.uk</u>.

P169 REPORT PHASE CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

BSC Parties ("Parties") and other interested parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views or provide any further evidence on any of the matters contained within this document. In particular views are sought in respect of the following questions. Parties are invited to supply the rationale for their responses.

Respondent:	Carl Wilkes
No. of Parties	10
Represented	
Parties Represented	RWE Trading Gmbh, RWE Npower plc, Npower Co-gen Ltd, Npower Co- gen Trading Ltd, Npower Direct Ltd, Npower Ltd, Npower Northern Ltd, Npower Northern Supply Ltd, Npower Yorkshire Ltd, Npower Yorkshire Supply Ltd
No. of Non Parties	
Represented	
Non Parties represented	
Role of Respondent	Supplier/Generator/ Trader / Consolidator / Exemptable Generator / Party Agent

Q	Question	Response Error! Bookmark not defined.	Rationale
1.	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation to the Authority contained in the draft Modification Report that the Alternative Modification P169 should be made? Please give rationale.	Yes	We agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation to the Authority. We believe the information that the Alternative allows to be published better facilitates BSC Objectives C and D.
2.	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation to the Authority contained in the draft Modification Report that the Proposed Modification P169 should not be made? Please give rationale.	Yes	The Alternate better facilitates BSC Objectives C and D.
3.	Do you agree with the Panel's view that the legal text provided in the draft Modification Report correctly addresses the defect or issue identified in the Modification Proposal?	Yes	
4.	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation concerning the Implementation Date for P169?	Yes	
5.	Are there any further comments on P169 that you wish to make?	No	

Please send your responses by **17:00** on **Thursday 28 October 2004** to <u>modification.consultations@elexon.co.uk</u> and please entitle your email `**P169 Report Phase Consultation**'. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Panel.

Any queries on the content of the consultation pro-forma should be addressed to Kathryn Coffin on 020 7380 4030, email address <u>kathryn.coffin@elexon.co.uk</u>.

P169 REPORT PHASE CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

BSC Parties ("Parties") and other interested parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views or provide any further evidence on any of the matters contained within this document. In particular views are sought in respect of the following questions. Parties are invited to supply the rationale for their responses.

Respondent:	Jim Beynon (EDF Energy)		
No. of Parties	9		
Represented			
Parties Represented	EDF Energy Networks (EPN) plc; EDF Energy Networks (LPN) plc		
	EDF Energy Networks (SPN) plc; EDF Energy (Sutton Bridge Power)		
	EDF Energy (Cottam Power) Ltd; EDF Energy (West Burton Power) Ltd; EDF		
	Energy plc; London Energy plc; Seeboard Energy Limited		
No. of Non Parties	0		
Represented			
Non Parties represented	N/A		
Role of Respondent	Supplier/Generator/ Trader		

Q	Question	Response	Rationale
1.	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation to the Authority contained in the draft Modification Report that the Alternative Modification P169 should be made? Please give rationale.	Yes	EDF Energy agrees that publication of wider BM Unit registration data would promote the transparency of the market and thereby better facilitate competition (Applicable BSC Objective (c)).
2.	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation to the Authority contained in the draft Modification Report that the Proposed Modification P169 should not be made? Please give rationale.	Yes	
3.	Do you agree with the Panel's view that the legal text provided in the draft Modification Report correctly addresses the defect or issue identified in the Modification Proposal? Please give rationale.	Yes	
4.	Do you agree with the Panel's provisional recommendation concerning the Implementation Date for P169? Please give rationale.	Yes	
5.	Are there any further comments on P169 that you wish to make?	No	

Please send your responses by **17:00** on **Thursday 28 October 2004** to <u>modification.consultations@elexon.co.uk</u> and please entitle your email **`P169 Report Phase Consultation**'. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Panel.

Any queries on the content of the consultation pro-forma should be addressed to Kathryn Coffin on 020 7380 4030, email address <u>kathryn.coffin@elexon.co.uk</u>.



ELEXON Limited 4th Floor 350 Euston Road London NW1 3AW

25 October 2004

Dear Sirs,

Re: Modification Proposal P169 – Publication of BMU names on the ELEXON website

Thank you for the opportunity of responding to this draft modification report considering Modification Proposal P169. British Gas Trading (BGT) agrees with the Panel's provisional recommendation that the alternative Modification should be made.

BGT notes the interaction between P168 and the Alternative modification developed as part of the solution under P169. BGT agrees with the Panel's provisional recommendation to approve P169 Alternative, as it will remove the requirement for Modification Proposal P168 to be implemented.

BGT agrees with the proposed implementation date of 10 Working Days following an Authority decision.

If you have any questions regarding this response please contact me

Yours faithfully

Mark Manley Contract Manager