# **Responses from P184 Assessment Consultation**

#### Consultation Issued 08 March 2005

#### Representations were received from the following parties

| No | Company             | File number  | No BSC      | No Non-Parties |
|----|---------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|
|    |                     |              | Parties     | Represented    |
|    |                     |              | Represented |                |
| 1. | E.ON UK             | P184_AR _001 | 15          | 0              |
| 2. | IMServ              | P184_AR _002 | 0           | 1              |
| 3. | EDF Energy          | P184_AR _003 | 9           | 0              |
| 4. | British Gas Trading | P184_AR _004 | 1           | 0              |
| 5. | Scottish Power      | P184_AR _005 | 6           | 0              |
| 6. | Npower              | P184_AR _006 | 10          | 0              |

| Respondent:                | E.ON UK   |
|----------------------------|---|
| No. of Parties Represented | 15  |
| Parties Represented        | E.ON UK plc, Powergen Retail Limited, Cottam Development Centre Limited, Enizade Ltd, E.ON UK Drakelow Limited, E.ON UK Ironbridge Limited, E.ON UK High Marnham Limited, Midlands Gas Limited, Western Gas Limited, TXU Europe (AHG) Limited, TXU Europe (AH Online) Limited, Citigen (London) Limited, Severn Trent Energy Limited (known as TXU Europe (AHST) Limited), TXU Europe (AHGD) Limited and Ownlabel Energy. |
| No. of Non Parties         | -   |
| Represented                |   |
| Non Parties represented    | -   |
| Role of Respondent         | Supplier, Generator, Trader, Consolidator & Exemptable Generator  |

| Q  | Question  | Response<br>Error! Bookmark not | Rationale |
|----|---|---------------------------------|-----------|
|    |   | defined.                        |           |
| 1. | Do you believe that Proposed Modification P184 better<br>facilitates the achievement of the Applicable BSC<br>Objectives?                               | Yes                             |           |
|    | Please give rationale and state objective(s)  |                                 |           |
| 2. | Do you agree with the method of clarification proposed<br>by the Modification Group described in the consultation<br>document?<br>Please give rationale | Yes                             |           |
| 3. | Do you agree that the legal text provides adequate clarification of the issues highlighted in the consultation document?                                | Yes                             |           |

| Q  | Question  | Response<br>Error! Bookmark not<br>defined. | Rationale  |
|----|---|---|--|
| 4. | Does P184 raise any issues that you believe have not<br>been identified so far and that should be progressed as<br>part of the Assessment Procedure?<br>Please give rationale | Yes   | Whilst we support the proposed modification we note that the assessment<br>report does not consider whether any potential benefits exist from clarifying<br>the intention as being that of the alternative interpretation i.e. that a<br>Settlement error is considered to exist upon the entire Trading Query and<br>as a result an entire Query may be void if just one of the Settlements is<br>outside of that deadline. Arguably this could better incentivise Parties to<br>submit timely and accurate Trading Queries. However, we note that in<br>reality, the rejection of an entire Query due to the circumstance described<br>above is only likely to result in the resubmission of a new Query excluding<br>the ineligible Settlement Period. This would introduce an inefficient process<br>and potentially negate the benefits. Therefore on balance we concur with<br>the Modification Group's clarification. |

Please send your responses by **5pm on Friday 18 March 2005** to <u>modification.consultations@elexon.co.uk</u> and please entitle your email 'P184 Assessment Consultation'. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Modification Group.

| Respondent:                | Nick White    |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| No. of Parties Represented |               |
| Parties Represented        | IMServ Europe |
| No. of Non Parties         |               |
| Represented                |               |
| Non Parties represented    |               |
| Role of Respondent         | Party Agent   |

| Q  | Question  | Response<br>Error! Bookmark not | Rationale |
|----|---|---------------------------------|-----------|
|    |   | defined.                        |           |
| 1. | Do you believe that Proposed Modification P184 better<br>facilitates the achievement of the Applicable BSC<br>Objectives?   | Yes                             |           |
|    | Please give rationale and state objective(s)  |                                 |           |
| 2. | Do you agree with the method of clarification proposed<br>by the Modification Group described in the consultation<br>document?<br>Please give rationale                       | Yes                             |           |
| 3. | Do you agree that the legal text provides adequate clarification of the issues highlighted in the consultation document?  | Yes                             |           |
| 4. | Does P184 raise any issues that you believe have not<br>been identified so far and that should be progressed as<br>part of the Assessment Procedure?<br>Please give rationale | No                              |           |

Please send your responses by **5pm on Friday 18 March 2005** to <u>modification.consultations@elexon.co.uk</u> and please entitle your email 'P184 Assessment Consultation'. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Modification Group.

## P184 ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

| Respondent:                | EDF Energy  |  |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| No. of Parties Represented | 9   |  |
| Parties Represented        | EDF Energy Networks (EPN) plc; EDF Energy Networks (LPN) plc    |  |
|                            | EDF Energy Networks (SPN) plc; EDF Energy (Sutton Bridge Power) |  |
|                            | Jade Power Generation Ltd; EDF Energy (West Burton Power) Ltd;  |  |
|                            | EDF Energy plc; London Energy plc; Seeboard Energy Limited      |  |
| No. of Non Parties         | 0   |  |
| Represented                |   |  |
| Non Parties represented    | N/A   |  |
| Role of Respondent         | Supplier / Generator / Trader                                   |  |

| Q  | Question  | Response<br>Error! Bookmark not | Rationale   |
|----|---|---------------------------------|---|
|    |   | defined.                        |   |
| 1. | Do you believe that Proposed Modification P184 better<br>facilitates the achievement of the Applicable BSC<br>Objectives?<br>Please give rationale and state objective(s) | Yes                             | We consider that P184 better facilitates BSC Applicable Objective (d) – Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the balancing and settlement arrangements. |
| 2. | Do you agree with the method of clarification proposed<br>by the Modification Group described in the consultation<br>document?<br>Please give rationale                   | Yes                             |   |
| 3. | Do you agree that the legal text provides adequate clarification of the issues highlighted in the consultation document?  | Yes                             |   |

| Q  | Question  | Response<br>Error! Bookmark not<br>defined. | Rationale |
|----|---|---|-----------|
| 4. | Does P184 raise any issues that you believe have not<br>been identified so far and that should be progressed as<br>part of the Assessment Procedure?<br>Please give rationale |   |           |

Please send your responses by **5pm on Friday 18 March 2005** to <u>modification.consultations@elexon.co.uk</u> and please entitle your email 'P184 Assessment Consultation'. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Modification Group.

## P184 ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

BSC Parties ("Parties") and other interested parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views or provide any further evidence on any of the matters contained within this document. In particular views are sought in respect of the following questions. Parties are invited to supply the rationale for their responses.

| Respondent:                | Mark Manley               |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| No. of Parties Represented |                           |
| Parties Represented        | British Gas Trading (BGT) |
| No. of Non Parties         |                           |
| Represented                |                           |
| Non Parties represented    |                           |
| Role of Respondent         |                           |

| Q  | Question  | Response<br>Error! Bookmark not<br>defined. | Rationale   |
|----|---|---|---|
| 1. | Do you believe that Proposed Modification P184 better<br>facilitates the achievement of the Applicable BSC<br>Objectives?<br>Please give rationale and state objective(s)     | Yes   | BGT believe that this will better facilitate Applicable BSC Objective (d) as it will provide greater clarity in a number of areas and therefore improve the efficiency in the implementation and administration of the balancing and settlement arrangements. |
| 2. | Do you agree with the method of clarification proposed<br>by the Modification Group described in the consultation<br>document?<br>Please give rationale                       | Yes   | BGT agrees with the proposed method of clarification.   |
| 3. | Do you agree that the legal text provides adequate clarification of the issues highlighted in the consultation document?  |   |   |
| 4. | Does P184 raise any issues that you believe have not<br>been identified so far and that should be progressed as<br>part of the Assessment Procedure?<br>Please give rationale | No  |   |

Page 1 of 2

Please send your responses by **5pm on Friday 18 March 2005** to <u>modification.consultations@elexon.co.uk</u> and please entitle your email 'P184 Assessment Consultation'. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Modification Group.

| Respondent: |  | Timothy Roberts (Scottish Pol   | wer)   |  |  |  |
|-------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| No.         | of Parties Represented   | 6   |  |  |  |  |
| Par         | rties Represented  | Scottish Power UK PLC; Scot   | h Power UK PLC; Scottish Power Energy Management Ltd; Scottish Power Generation Ltd; Scottish Power Energy |  |  |  |
|             |  | Retail Ltd; SP Transmission Lt  | d; SP Manweb F   | PLC.   |  |  |
|             | . of Non Parties   | 0   |  |  |  |  |
|             | presented  |   |  |  |  |  |
|             | n Parties represented  |   |  |  |  |  |
|             | le of Respondent   | Supplier/Generator/ Trader /  |  | xemptable Generator / Party Agent /  |  |  |
| Q           | Question   |   | Response<br>Error! Bookmark not<br>defined.  | Rationale  |  |  |
| 1.          |  | osed Modification P184 better<br>ent of the Applicable BSC<br>tate objective(s) | Yes  | This Modification will remove the ambiguities between Section W of the BSC and BSCP11, in particular it clarifies the duration of a settlement error and the definition of the query deadline in relation to a settlement period. As a result, Scottish Power believes that this Modification better facilitates the achievement of BSC Objective D i.e. 'To promote the efficiency in the implementation and administration of the balancing and settlement arrangements. |  |  |
| 2.          | <ul> <li>Do you agree with the method of clarification proposed<br/>by the Modification Group described in the consultation<br/>document?<br/>Please give rationale</li> </ul> |   | Yes  | As stated above, this Modification clarifies the duration of a settlement error<br>and the definition of the query deadline in relation to a settlement period.<br>In addition it clarifies the roles of the BSCCo and the TDC in the<br>determination of the Trading Queries & Disputes. Consequently, SP<br>supports this clarification.   |  |  |
| 3.          |  | egal text provides adequate<br>highlighted in the consultation                  | Yes  |  |  |  |

| 4. | Does P184 raise any issues that you believe have not    | No | It is a "no" but with a small caveat - there remains an issue regarding the |
|----|---|----|---|
|    | been identified so far and that should be progressed as |    | lack of clarity regarding the provision of a Trading Query end date under   |
|    | part of the Assessment Procedure?                       |    | BSCP11, which was raised at the recent SVA Forum. Although, ELEXON          |
|    | Please give rationale                                   |    | have stated that a CP is to be raised, are there any issues that this Mod   |
|    |   |    | should pick up in relation to the BSC?                                      |
|    |   |    |   |

Please send your responses by **5pm on Friday 18 March 2005** to <u>modification.consultations@elexon.co.uk</u> and please entitle your email 'P184 Assessment Consultation'. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Modification Group.

# P184 ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

| Respondent:                | Louisa Gilchrist   |
|----------------------------|--|
| No. of Parties Represented | 10   |
| Parties Represented        | RWE Trading Gmbh, RWE Npower plc, Npower Co-gen Ltd, Npower Co-gen Trading Ltd, Npower Direct Ltd, Npower Ltd, |
| -                          | Npower Northern Ltd, Npower Northern Supply Ltd, Npower Yorkshire Ltd, Npower Yorkshire Supply Ltd             |
| No. of Non Parties         | N/a  |
| Represented                |  |
| Non Parties represented    | N/a  |
| Role of Respondent         | Supplier/Generator/ Trader / Consolidator / Exemptable Generator / Party Agent <sup>1</sup> )                  |

| Q  | Question  | Response <sup>1</sup> | Rationale   |
|----|---|-----------------------|---|
| 1. | Do you believe that Proposed Modification P184 better<br>facilitates the achievement of the Applicable BSC<br>Objectives?<br>Please give rationale and state objective(s) | Yes                   | We believe that Proposed Modification better facilitates the achievement of BSC Objective (d) "promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the balancing and settlement agreement" as it clarifies the duration of a settlement error and the process followed when only part of the Trading Query is raised within the Query Deadline. However, the impact of the successive Modifications on the duration of the Query deadline may have some unintended consequences, specifically it reduces the scope of Parties to raise Queries that arise from root causes outside the Query |
| 2. | Do you agree with the method of clarification proposed<br>by the Modification Group described in the consultation<br>document?<br>Please give rationale                   | Yes                   | deadline.<br>The method of clarification outlined in the consultation document is<br>acceptable to Npower, notwithstanding the comments raised in the answer<br>to question 1.  |
| 3. | Do you agree that the legal text provides adequate clarification of the issues highlighted in the consultation document?  | Yes                   | The legal text is acceptable  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Delete as appropriate – please do not use strikeout, this is to make it easier to analyse the responses

| Q  | Question  | Response <sup>1</sup> | Rationale   |
|----|---|-----------------------|---|
| 4. | Does P184 raise any issues that you believe have not<br>been identified so far and that should be progressed as<br>part of the Assessment Procedure?<br>Please give rationale | Yes                   | We note that the shortening of the timescales for raising Trading Queries implemented through Modification P131 needed clarification, and the Proposed Modification P184 is provides greater clarity. However we believe that timescales put in place since Modification P131 may now be too short in some circumstances. By excluding some Queries the Proposed Modification may not contribute to lowering the volume of settlement errors. Taking into account issues such as void and vacant sites, current timescale restrictions may prove too tight to identify the root causes of |
|    |   |                       | Queries.  |

Please send your responses by **5pm on Friday 18 March 2005** to <u>modification.consultations@elexon.co.uk</u> and please entitle your email 'P184 Assessment Consultation'. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Modification Group.