

## Responses for CPC00578

### Detailed Level Impact Assessment of P200 Revised

Carried out by	Comments
<p>Man Kwong Liu  <b>SAIC Ltd.</b>  <b>Scottish Power UK plc</b>  <b>ScottishPower Energy</b>  <b>Management Ltd.</b>  <b>ScottishPower Generation</b>  <b>Ltd.</b>  <b>ScottishPower Energy Retail</b>  <b>Ltd.</b>  <b>SP Manweb plc.</b>  <b>SP Transmission Ltd.</b>  <b>SP Distribution Ltd</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Would Proposed Modification P200, as outlined in the attached revised Requirements Specification, have any additional impact on your organisation compared with Proposed Modification P198 as previously outlined in response to CPC572? <b>No</b></li> <li>2. If yes, please provide a description of the additional impact, any additional costs which would be incurred,<sup>1</sup> and any additional required development timescales (with supporting rationale)</li> <li>3. Please indicate, with supporting rationale, the lead time (if any) which your organisation would require between the publication of the P200 F-factor values and their implementation in Settlement under Proposed Modification P200  <p style="color: blue; margin-left: 20px;">With the nature of contract negotiation/renegotiation, ScottishPower require a minimum of 3 months lead time (prior to their effective date), such that the values could be built into the pricing models.</p> </li> <li>4. Would Alternative Modification P200, as outlined in the attached revised Requirements Specification, have any additional impact on your organisation compared with Proposed Modification P200? <b>No</b></li> <li>5. If yes, please provide a description of the additional impact, any additional costs which would be incurred,<sup>1</sup> and any additional required development timescales (with supporting rationale)</li> <li>6. Please indicate, with supporting rationale, the lead time (if any) which your organisation would require between the publication of the P200 F-factor values and their implementation in Settlement under Alternative Modification P200  <p style="color: blue; margin-left: 20px;">ScottishPower require a minimum of 3 months lead time (prior to their effective date), such that the values could be built into the pricing models.</p> </li> </ol> <p>Any other comments:</p> <p style="color: blue; margin-left: 20px;">ScottishPower would also highlight that the notice required for implementation should be from the date of <b>definitive decision</b> for implementation (i.e. after all the appeals if applicable), as P82 experience showed that unnecessary costs were expended by the industry as a result of that process.</p>

<sup>1</sup> Parties are invited to provide cost information to support their impact assessments. Where requested this information can be treated as confidential, although all information will be provided to

**Jane Larner**  
Npower Limited, Npower  
Northern Supply Limited,  
Npower Northern Limited,  
Npower Yorkshire Supply  
Limited, Npower Yorkshire  
Limited, Npower Direct Limited,

1. Would Proposed Modification P200, as outlined in the attached revised Requirements Specification, have any additional impact on your organisation compared with Proposed Modification P198 as previously outlined in response to CPC572? Yes/<sup>No\*</sup>
2. If yes, please provide a description of the additional impact, any additional costs which would be incurred,<sup>2</sup> and any additional required development timescales (with supporting rationale) (Confidential information removed).
3. Please indicate, with supporting rationale, the lead time (if any) which your organisation would require between the publication of the P200 F-factor values and their implementation in Settlement under Proposed Modification P200

At least 6 months from Elexon publishing the detailed specification for the changes

4. Would Alternative Modification P200, as outlined in the attached revised Requirements Specification, have any additional impact on your organisation compared with Proposed Modification P200? Yes/~~No\*~~
5. If yes, please provide a description of the additional impact, any additional costs which would be incurred,<sup>1</sup> and any additional required development timescales (with supporting rationale) (Confidential information removed)
6. Please indicate, with supporting rationale, the lead time (if any) which your organisation would require between the publication of the P200 F-factor values and their implementation in Settlement under Alternative Modification P200.

The lead time would be similar to the changes required for the Proposed Modification

Dave Morton  
EDF Energy

1. Would Proposed Modification P200, as outlined in the attached revised Requirements Specification, have any additional impact on your organisation compared with Proposed Modification P198 as previously outlined in response to CPC572? **Yes**
2. If yes, please provide a description of the additional impact, any additional costs which would be incurred,<sup>3</sup> and any additional required development timescales (with supporting rationale) [Additional changes will be required to our settlements processes and system. Further internal training would be required to ensure this scheme is fully understood by impacted areas. We estimate that a further cost of £50k would cover these additional requirements and would need an additional two months over and above those details provided against modification P198.](#)
3. Please indicate, with supporting rationale, the lead time (if any) which your organisation would require between the publication of the P200 F-factor values and their implementation in Settlement under Proposed Modification P200 [We would like 10 working days so that we can undertake final testing with factors loaded in our system.](#)
4. Would Alternative Modification P200, as outlined in the attached revised Requirements Specification, have any additional impact on your organisation compared with Proposed Modification P200? **Yes**
5. If yes, please provide a description of the additional impact, any additional costs which would be incurred,<sup>1</sup> and any additional required development timescales (with supporting rationale) [Additional changes will be required to our settlements processes and system. Further internal training would be required to ensure this scheme is fully understood by impacted areas. We estimate that a further cost of £50k would cover these additional requirements and would need an additional two months over and above those details provided against modification P198.](#)
6. Please indicate, with supporting rationale, the lead time (if any) which your organisation would require between the publication of the P200 F-factor values and their implementation in Settlement under Alternative Modification P200 [We would like 10 working days so that we can undertake final testing with factors loaded in our system.](#)
7. Any other comments:

---

<sup>3</sup> Parties are invited to provide cost information to support their impact assessments. Where requested this information can be treated as confidential, although all information will be provided to

<p>Sue Edwards  <b>Southern Electric Power Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd;</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Would Proposed Modification P200, as outlined in the attached revised Requirements Specification, have any additional impact on your organisation compared with Proposed Modification P198 as previously outlined in response to CPC572? <b>No</b></li> <li>2. If yes, please provide a description of the additional impact, any additional costs which would be incurred,<sup>4</sup> and any additional required development timescales (with supporting rationale)</li> <li>3. Please indicate, with supporting rationale, the lead time (if any) which your organisation would require between the publication of the P200 F-factor values and their implementation in Settlement under Proposed Modification P200</li> <li>4. Would Alternative Modification P200, as outlined in the attached revised Requirements Specification, have any additional impact on your organisation compared with Proposed Modification P200? <b>No</b></li> <li>5. If yes, please provide a description of the additional impact, any additional costs which would be incurred,<sup>1</sup> and any additional required development timescales (with supporting rationale)</li> <li>6. Please indicate, with supporting rationale, the lead time (if any) which your organisation would require between the publication of the P200 F-factor values and their implementation in Settlement under Alternative Modification P200</li> <li>7. Any other comments:</li> </ol>
<p>Allen Gerber  E.On-UK</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Detailed Level Impact Assessment of P200. It is now clear that the allocation of a proportion of transmission losses based on F-factor volumes will involve more complex balancing and Settlement calculations, particularly as fixed volumes will not be associated with actual BM Unit metered data. The complexity will certainly incur significant additional costs on E.ON UK's systems.</li> </ol> <p>Unfortunately we are not able at this stage to provide a detailed assessment of these additional costs.</p>

---

the Authority. Respondents should therefore clearly indicate if any aspect of their response is confidential.

<sup>4</sup> Parties are invited to provide cost information to support their impact assessments. Where requested this information can be treated as confidential, although all information will be provided to the Authority. Respondents should therefore clearly indicate if any aspect of their response is confidential.