

## **Non-Confidential Responses for CPC00572**

### Detailed Level Impact Assessment of P200

<b>Carried out by</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Sue Macklin Southern Electric Power Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd;	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Would Proposed Modification P200, as outlined in the attached Requirements Specification, have any additional impact on your organisation compared with Proposed Modification P198? <b>Yes</b></li><li>2. If yes, please provide a description of the additional impact, any additional costs which would be incurred, and any additional required development timescales (with supporting rationale). <b>[Confidential cost information removed]</b></li><li>3. Please indicate, with supporting rationale, the lead time (if any) which your organisation would require between the publication of the P200 F-factor values and their implementation in Settlement. <b>Estimated implementation notice required is 4 months.</b></li><li>4. Any other comments:</li></ol>

Louise Allport  
British Energy Power & Energy  
Trading Ltd, British Energy  
Generation Ltd, British Energy  
Direct Ltd, Eggborough Power  
Ltd, British Energy Generation  
(UK) Ltd

1. Would Proposed Modification P200, as outlined in the attached Requirements Specification, have any additional impact on your organisation compared with Proposed Modification P198? **Yes**
2. If yes, please provide a description of the additional impact, any additional costs which would be incurred, and any additional required development timescales (with supporting rationale)

**The implementation of P200 would require small and medium impact system changes additional to those required only for P198. This will increase the costs that we would incur but as the scope of the project has not yet been finalised, we are unable to quote any numbers. In order to complete the additional system and process changes required for P200 we feel that a development timescale of 6 months would be adequate.**

3. Please indicate, with supporting rationale, the lead time (if any) which your organisation would require between the publication of the P200 F-factor values and their implementation in Settlement

**A notice period of 3 months between publication of F-factors and first use in Settlement would allow sufficient time for validation and population of systems.**

4. Any other comments: **None at this time**

Gary Henderson  
Scottish Power UK plc  
ScottishPower Energy  
Management Ltd.  
ScottishPower Generation Ltd.  
ScottishPower Energy Retail  
Ltd.  
SP Manweb plc.  
SP Transmission Ltd.  
SP Distribution Ltd.

1. Would Proposed Modification P200, as outlined in the attached Requirements Specification, have any additional impact on your organisation compared with Proposed Modification P198?

**Yes. There will be additional impacts to incorporate the F-factors into our systems.**

2. If yes, please provide a description of the additional impact, any additional costs which would be incurred, and any additional required development timescales (with supporting rationale)

**The additional changes, while having an impact on the overall system solution, will be incorporated within the changes required for P198. They will therefore have a negligible impact on the overall implementation timescales. Likewise, there will be no marked increase in associated costs.**

3. Please indicate, with supporting rationale, the lead time (if any) which your organisation would require between the publication of the P200 F-factor values and their implementation in Settlement

**With the nature of contract negotiation/renegotiation, ScottishPower require a minimum of 3 months lead time (prior to their effective date), such that the values could be built into the pricing models.**

4. Any other comments:

**ScottishPower would also highlight that the notice required for implementation should be from the date of definitive decision for implementation (i.e. after all the appeals if applicable), as P82 experience showed that unnecessary costs were expended by the industry as a result of that process.**

Dave Morton  
EDF Energy (Supplier)

5. Would Proposed Modification P200, as outlined in the attached Requirements Specification, have any additional impact on your organisation compared with Proposed Modification P198? **Yes**
6. If yes, please provide a description of the additional impact, any additional costs which would be incurred, and any additional required development timescales (with supporting rationale)

**Additional changes will be required to our settlements processes and system. Further internal training would be required to ensure this scheme is fully understood by impacted areas. We estimate that a further cost of £50k would cover these additional requirements and would need an additional two months over and above those details provided against modification P198.**

7. Please indicate, with supporting rationale, the lead time (if any) which your organisation would require between the publication of the P200 F-factor values and their implementation in Settlement

**We would like 10 working days so that we can undertake final testing with factors loaded in our system.**

8. Any other comments:

**We would also like a notification to be sent to all parties when new factors are loaded on BSCCo website. This would ensure that no one misses any changes and reduces risks for all parties.**