

P254 Consultation Responses

Consultation issued on 15 March 2010

We received responses from the following Parties

Company	No BSC Parties / Non-Parties Represented	Role of Parties/non-Parties represented
RWE Npower Limited	8/0	Supplier / Party Agent
EDF Energy	13/0	Supplier/Generator/Trader/Consolidator/Exemptible Generator/Party Agent/Distributor
E.ON UK	5/0	Supplier
SAIC Ltd. (for and on behalf of ScottishPower)	7/0	Supplier / Generator / Trader / Consolidator / Exemptible Generator / Distributor

What stage is this document in the process?

01 Initial Written Assessment

02 Definition Procedure

03 Assessment Procedure

04 Report Phase

Question 1: Do you agree the legal text delivers the intention of P254?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/Other
4	0	0

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
RWE Npower Limited	Yes	-
EDF Energy	Yes	-
E.ON UK	Yes	-
SAIC Ltd. (for and on behalf of	Yes	-

P254
Report Phase Consultation
Responses

29 March 2010

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 3

© ELEXON Limited 2010

Respondent	Response	Rationale
ScottishPower)		

Question 2: Do you agree with the Panel's suggested implementation approach?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/Other
4		

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
RWE Npower Limited	Yes	-
EDF Energy	Yes	5 working days from Authority decision seems a practical and efficient approach.
E.ON UK	Yes	-
SAIC Ltd. (for and on behalf of ScottishPower)	Yes	Implementation should be as soon as possible, after and Authority decision

Question 3: Do you agree with the Panel's initial recommendation that P254 will better facilitate the achievement of Applicable BSC Objective (d) when compared with the existing BSC requirements and that P254 should therefore be approved?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/Other
4	0	

Responses

Respondent	Response	Rationale
RWE Npower	Yes	Removing the changeable value seems a sensible

Respondent	Response	Rationale
Limited		option. This will enable a more efficient and effective process.
EDF Energy	Yes	-
E.ON UK	Yes	The modification will remove any ambiguity in where the charges will be found and will give certainty to new entrants.
SAIC Ltd. (for and on behalf of ScottishPower)	Yes	It seems sensible to remove configurable and changeable values, such as these charges from the Code itself, making it a more efficient process to amend these as and when required. This is consistent with other BSC parameters. As such, it will make administration of the BSC more efficient (Objective d)

Question 4: Do you have any further comments on P254?

Responses

Respondent	Comments
RWE Npower Limited	No
EDF Energy	<p>The legal text states that Panel determined charge rates shall be "...notified to Parties by the Panel by publication on the BSC Website":</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It would be preferable if explicit notice, for example via Elexon Circular, could also be given. 2. All charge rates, past, present, and agreed for the future, with applicable dates, should be published on the BSC Website, preferably in a conveniently downloadable format, for example version numbered/dated pdf document and spreadsheet. <p>The legal text can be interpreted to require this desirable easily auditable record of values. This could be as well as, or instead of, the existing display of "current" values.</p>
E.ON UK	No
SAIC Ltd. (for and on behalf of ScottishPower)	No