



Change Proposal Circular

CPC00692: Impact Assessment of DCP0048

Responses for DCP0048 'Migration of interface between National Grid and BMRA/SAA from CSV to XML file format'



Any Questions

If you have any queries, please contact:
CCC@elexon.co.uk.

Or contact:
BSCP40 Change Process Task Leader
020 7380 4135
stuart.holmes@elexon.co.uk.

Summary of Responses

Organisation	Capacity in which Organisation operates in	Agree?	Impacted?	Days needed to implement
Independent Power Networks Limited	LDSO, SMRA, UMSO	Neutral	No	-
TMA Data Management Ltd	HHDC, HHDA, NHHDC and NHHDA	Yes	No	-
CE Electric UK (YEDL & NEDL)	LDSO	Neutral	No	-
Accenture Services Limited (for and on behalf of Scottish Power)	ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd. ScottishPower Generation Ltd. ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd. ScottishPower Manweb plc. ScottishPower Distribution Ltd.	Yes	No	-
npower	Supplier & Supplier Agents	Neutral	No	180

CPC00692

7 March 2011

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 3

© ELEXON Limited 2011

Summary of Responses

E.ON Energy Solutions	HH & NHH MOA, NHH DC-DA	Neutral	No	-
Scottish & Southern Energy Plc	Supplier/Generator/ Trader / Party Agent / Distributor	Yes	No	-
GDF SUEZ Energy UK	Supplier	Neutral	No	-
E.ON UK	Supplier	No	Yes	?

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

Organisation	Agree?	Impacted?	Comments
Independent Power Networks Limited	Neutral	No	-
TMA Data Management Ltd	Yes	No	Agree change comment - The move to XML format from CSV format is necessary in order to increase the potential for improvement and innovation
CE Electric UK	Neutral	No	-
Accenture Services Limited (for and on behalf of Scottish Power)	Yes	No	-
npower	Neutral	No	Notice required to implement - Although initial analysis of this change indicated that there will be no impact on npower directly, we would not expect any changes within the industry to be implemented without a lead time of at least six months. Associated costs - as this change does not impact npower, no costs can be attributed
E.ON Energy Solutions	Neutral	No	-
Scottish & Southern Energy Plc	Yes	No	Do you have any other comments or suggestions for alternative solutions? As the proposed solution of Option 3 does not impact any BSC Parties and no changes to the format of the data that BSC parties receive, we do not, therefore, expect any cost or system implications. However, if this option is progressed we would want to understand the costs that Elexon would incur.
GDF SUEZ Energy UK	Neutral	No	-
E.ON UK	No	Yes	Agree change comment - Our preference remains Option 1, to continue with CSV files, that can be

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

		<p>easily accessed in Excel, and not embark upon a phased migration project that seems likely to involve some duplications of work and costs to Parties. That higher long-term IT costs would result from Option 1 is not certain. However Option 3 to develop and maintain new XML interfaces in parallel to the current CSV format would be less efficient than Options 1 or 2, and effectively re-opening the project to phase migration to XML at suitable opportunities, incur further costs. We note particularly the statement that the XML interface initially developed and maintained may have to be revisited: 'Depending on the level of input Elexon is able to make prior to EBS go-live, the XML interface implemented on EBS may be a generic one from the vendor which may not fully meet BMRA/SAA requirements – this could result in additional costs in the future for National Grid and/or BSC Parties'.</p> <p>Thus the recommended Option 3 seems more inefficient and likely to result in higher costs than Option 1, or Option 2 to migrate the interfaces at EBS go-live.</p> <p>Please state what the impact is – as above.</p>
--	--	---