

## CPC00668 – Impact Assessment Responses for CP1309, CP1310, CP1311, CP1312, and CP1313

### CP1309 - Include reference to D0303 in BSCP514 and circumstances in which its use is mandatory

#### Summary of Responses

Organisation	Capacity in which Organisation operates in (Impacted Capacity in Bold as appropriate)	Agreement Yes/No	Days Required to Implement
United Utilities	MOA-NHH/HH	Neutral	6 weeks approximately
Gemserv	MRASCo Ltd	Yes	--
Stark Software International Ltd	HHDC/NHHDC/HHDA/NHHDA/NHHDR	Neutral	--
TMA Data Management Ltd	HHDC, HHDA, NHHDC, NHHDA	Yes	--
Southern Electric Power Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd; SSE Metering Ltd	Supplier/Generator/ Trader / Party Agent / Distributor	Yes	0
IMServ Europe	HHDC,DA and MOP and NHHDC,DA and MOP	---	None
EDF Energy Networks (EPN,LPN,SPN) EDF Energy (IDNO) Ltd	LDSO, SMRS, UMSO	Yes	--
E.ON	Supplier	Yes	--
G4S AccuRead	NHHDC, NHHDA, NHHMOA	Yes	--
EDF Energy	Supplier, NHH Agent and HH MOP	No	0 or 180
Western Power Distribution	LDSO	Yes	0
Independent Power Networks Limited (IPNL)	LDSO, UMSO, SMRA	Yes	--
SAIC on behalf of: ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd.	Supplier, LDSO, HHDA, NHHDA, HHDC, NHHDC, HHMOA, NHHMOA	Yes	180

ScottishPower Generation Ltd. ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd. SP Manweb plc. SP Transmission Ltd. SP Distribution Ltd			
British Energy Generation Limited, British Energy Generation (UK) limited, British Energy Direct Limited, British Energy Trading and Sales Limited, Eggborough Power Limited.	Generator, Supplier, Trading Party Non Physical	Neutral	--
CE Electric UK	LDSO, UMSO	Neutral	--
Siemens Metering Services	NHHDC, NHHDA, NHHMO, HHDC, HHDA, HHMO	Yes	90
NPower Limited	Supplier, Supplier Agents	Yes	--
E.ON UK Energy Services Limited	NHHDC-DA MOA	Yes	--
British Gas	Supplier	Yes	10

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

Organisation	Agreement Yes/No	Comments	Impact Yes/No
<b>United Utilities</b>	Neutral	<p><b>Comments:</b> In the circumstances suggested by BG, United Utilities would send a D303 flow currently. If, however, a scenario occurred whereby the D155 was received from the new supplier prior to the D151 being received and the MOP agent remained the same (COS only no COA) – would a D303 be expected to be sent on both de-appointment and appointment?</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes?</b> – Yes – dependant upon the above scenario in comments above.</p> <p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted?</b> MOA – NHH/HH and Mpids- NORW &amp; UUNL</p> <p><b>Days required comment:</b> System change would be dependant on the above scenario. Current system is compliant for all other scenarios</p>	Yes

		<p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact?</b> System change to cover all scenarios</p> <p><b>Any other comments:</b> As per comments above.</p>	
Gemserv	Yes	<p><b>Comments:</b> This change ensures that the BSC and DTC are aligned on this point. This change will help to deal with the increased risk of inconsistent/ inaccurate data that arises from the separation of MAP and MAM roles.</p> <p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted?</b> The impact of this change is covered under the implementation of DTC CP 3307 'Mandating the use of the D0303 in certain circumstances'</p>	No
Stark Software International Ltd	Neutral	No additional comments.	No
TMA Data Management Ltd	Yes	<b>Comment:</b> Clarifications are always welcome in order to offer a common approach within the industry.	No
Southern Electric Power Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd; SSE Metering Ltd	Yes	No additional comments.	No
IMServ Europe	---	<p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted?</b> MOP, i.e. MAM and MAP</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact?</b> No</p> <p><b>Any other comments:</b> We fully support the rationale behind this CP and view it as a necessary process in order to support increasing changes in the Market. We would however suggest removal of the following reference as this is not a data item which is required in the flow or the detail of which is necessary to capture: -</p> <p>6.2.2.10 Send (D0303 on)change of energisation status</p>	Yes
EDF Energy Networks (EPN,LPN,SPN) EDF Energy (IDNO) Ltd	Yes	<p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> LDSO</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation:</b> N/A</p>	No

<b>E.ON</b>	Yes	No additional comments	No
<b>G4S AccuRead</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<b>EDF Energy</b>	No	<p><b>Comment:</b> We agree principle of this change but believe that there are two issues that need to be addressed before this CP can be progressed. These two issues are:</p> <p>1 – Where MAP id is CUST we feel that process is flawed. Currently process states that a MOP needs to send details regarding changes, but not by using a D0303. In many cases MOP will have no direct links with such customers and only details they might have for contact are a name and metering point address. In effect MOP would need to send a letter to that address with change details. However, when MAP is CUST we would expect that customer to be aware of any changes of Supplier and/or agent as they will tend to have initiated these themselves. This CP needs to be amended to state that when MAP id is CUST that a MOP will not need to provide any of these updates as in such case it is down to that customer to manage details of their asset.</p> <p>2 – We feel that there is a further scenario that needs to be considered to determine if sending of a D0303 needs to be mandated?</p> <p>MAP decides to sell its assets. Old MAP sends D0304 to appointed MOP advising identity of new MAP for metering equipment. MOP sends D0304 flow to supplier and distributor advising ID of new MAP. In this scenario should MOP also have to send a D0303 to the new MAP advising them that they are the appointed MOP and confirming the appointed supplier? Or does this not need to be covered by a data flow and is assumed to be a manual transfer of details between Old and New MAP when assets are sold? If a D0303 is to be mandated in this case then a specific scenario will need to be included in BSCP514 to cover this.</p> <p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> MOP</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation:</b> Possible system changes, depends upon issues raised above.</p> <p><b>Days Comment:</b> Depends upon resolution of two issues mentioned above.</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact?</b> Yes – we could not implement if details have to be sent when MAP id is CUST or for change of MAP process detailed.</p> <p><b>Details of the associated costs:</b> Initial estimate of between £15k to £25k if MOP needs to send data in two scenarios we have identified.</p>	Yes
<b>Western Power Distribution</b>	Yes	<b>Comment:</b> We have recently become aware of many instances where MOAs fail to send the D0303 so fully support this change.	Yes

		<p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> LDSO</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation:</b> Introducing governance in this area should result in improved communications and reduce the amount of time spent dealing with flow failures &amp; errors.</p> <p><b>Calendar Days Comment:</b> Our MAP system is already designed to process flows that are sent correctly.</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact?</b> No</p>	
<b>IPNL</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<p><b>SAIC on behalf of:</b>  <b>ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd.</b>  <b>ScottishPower Generation Ltd.</b>  <b>ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd.</b>  <b>SP Manweb plc.</b>  <b>SP Transmission Ltd.</b>  <b>SP Distribution Ltd</b></p>	Yes	<p><b>Comments:</b> ScottishPower is fully supportive of the proposed change to the use of the D303 flow. As stated by the proposer, the introduction of smart metering in the near future will lead in certain instances to the role of MAP and MAM being performed by separate commercial entities and it is therefore essential that information is communicated to ensure accuracy with the sector. Establishing a process to ensure that this is the case, will be beneficial to the industry as a whole.</p> <p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> MAP, MOP</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> Possible system and process changes</p> <p><b>Calendar Date comment:</b> ScottishPower believes the current proposed implementation date of February 2010 does not give a adequate lead time to properly assess and implement the CP if approved.</p> <p>There will also be an associated DTC CP which will be required to be progressed at the MDB. We would therefore propose a release date of June 2010 as more appropriate.</p> <p><b>Adverse impact:</b> We feel it does not give adequate lead time to fully implement any required changes to our systems.</p> <p><b>Any other comments:</b> We would like to see clarification on the sentence:  “Where the MOP and MAP are the same commercial entity then provided that entity can demonstrate that information contained within the D0303 has been transferred from the MOP to the MAP in the above circumstances, then the use of the D0303 is optional.”</p> <p>How does the Proposer suggest this should be done? Will it be covered as part of the PwC audit? Further clarification would help ensure that suitable and consistent evidence is available and that there is a robust auditable process available to follow.</p>	Yes
<b>British Energy Generation</b>	Neutral	No additional comments.	Yes/no

Limited, British Energy Generation (UK) limited, British Energy Direct Limited, British Energy Trading and Sales Limited, Eggborough Power Limited.			
CE Electric UK	Neutral	No additional comments.	No
Siemens Metering Services	Yes	<p><b>Comment:</b> Siemens Metering Services support the purpose of this CP.</p> <p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> MOA, MAP</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> Process &amp; potential system changes</p> <p><b>Adverse impact:</b> No adverse impact.</p> <p><b>Costs comment:</b> Costs are unknown at this time.</p>	Yes
Npower Ltd	Yes	No additional comments.	No
E.ON UK Energy Services Ltd	Yes	<p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> MOA</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> Our systems are compliant with the proposed changes.</p> <p><b>Adverse impact:</b> No</p>	No
British Gas	Yes	<p><b>Comment:</b> We are supportive of this change for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This change will enable MAPs to keep a track of their assets without which costs may increase</li> <li>• The change will help support smart metering interoperability to prevent premature replacement of meters</li> <li>• Help support metering competition without which some MAPs may be dissuaded from entering the market</li> <li>• Improve quality of data held be MAPs by ensuring timely update of data</li> </ul> <p><b>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes?</b> None at present</p> <p><b>Calendar days comment:</b> Minor updating of processes</p> <p><b>Adverse impact:</b> No</p>	Yes

Comments on redline text

No.	Organisation	Document name (e.g. BSCPXXXX/CoPX)	Location (Section and paragraph numbers)	Severity Code (H/M/L – see below)	Comments by Reviewer
1	Siemens Metering Services	BSCP514	2.1.3 (points a and d)	H	<p>The CP document refers to meters, whereas the D0303 is for metering assets. The difference being that this also includes timing devices and associated equipment.</p> <p>For clarification we believe that the redlined text should be re-worded to state 'metering asset' rather than 'meter'.</p> <p>b) Upon any Meter removal or installation, the MOA shall ensure that relevant details are sent to the associated MAP(s) as appropriate.</p> <p>d)</p> <p>the MAP for a Meter is the same as the MOA appointed to the Metering Point at which the Meter is installed or from which it has just been removed, and if agreed between the MAP and MOA roles of the relevant participant;</p>

**CP1310 - Clarifications to Gross Volume Correction Process**

Summary of Responses

Organisation	Capacity in which Organisation operates in (Impacted Capacity in Bold as appropriate)	Agreement Yes/No	Days Required to Implement
Central Networks	LDSO	Yes	0
Gemserv	MRASCo Ltd	Neutral	--
Stark Software International Ltd	HHDC/NHHDC/HHDA/NHHDA/NHHDR	Yes	60
TMA Data Management Ltd	HHDC, HHDA, NHHDC, NHHDA	Yes	30
Southern Electric Power Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd; SSE Metering Ltd	Supplier/Generator/ Trader / Party Agent / Distributor	Yes	0
EDF Energy Networks (EPN,LPN,SPN) EDF Energy (IDNO) Ltd	LDSO, SMRS, UMSO	Yes	--
E.ON	Supplier	Yes	--
G4S AccuRead	NHHDC, NHHDA, NHHMOA	Yes	60
EDF Energy	Supplier, NHH Agent and HH MOP	Yes	30
Western Power Distribution	LDSO	Yes	--
Independent Power Networks Limited	LDSO, UMSO, SMRA	Yes	--
SAIC on behalf of: ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd. ScottishPower Generation Ltd.	Supplier, LDSO, HHDA, NHHDA, HHDC, NHHDC, HHMOA, NHHMOA	Yes	0



ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd. SP Manweb plc. SP Transmission Ltd. SP Distribution Ltd			
British Energy Generation Limited, British Energy Generation (UK) limited, British Energy Direct Limited, British Energy Trading and Sales Limited, Eggborough Power Limited.	Generator, Supplier, Trading Party Non Physical	Yes	--
CE Electric UK	LDSO, UMSO	Neutral	--
Siemens Metering Services	NHHDC, NHHDA, NHHMO, HHDC, HHDA, HHMO	Neutral	45
NPower Limited	Supplier, Supplier Agents	Yes	--
E.ON UK Energy Services Limited	NHHDC-DA MOA	Yes	30
British Gas	Supplier	Yes	180

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

Organisation	Agreement Yes/No	Comments	Impact Yes/No
<b>Central Networks</b>	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted?</b> LDSO <b>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes?</b> Yes <b>Impact on Organisation?</b> More stable DF run <b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact?</b> No <b>Details of the associated costs?</b> None	Yes
<b>Gemserv</b>	Neutral	No additional comments.	No
<b>Stark Software International Ltd</b>	Yes	<b>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes?</b> Yes <b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted?</b> NHHDC <b>Impact on Organisation?</b> System Change & Process Change <b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact?</b>	Yes

		No, we agree with any reinforcement of the GVC process <b>Details of the associated costs?</b>	
TMA Data Management Ltd	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted?</b> NHHDC <b>Impact on Organisation:</b> Processes <b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact?</b> No <b>Details of associated costs:</b> Medium Impact	Yes
Southern Electric Power Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd; SSE Metering Ltd	Yes	No additional comments.	No
EDF Energy Networks (EPN,LPN,SPN) EDF Energy (IDNO) Ltd	Yes	<b>Comments:</b> This CP tightens the rules on Suppliers using GVC and as such is a good thing. However it still is focusing on Supplier only changes. There should be facility for a DNO to send GVC to a NHHDC and for the NHHDC to apply it. <b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted?</b> LDSO	No
E.ON	Yes	<b>Comment:</b> Paperwork change only	No
G4S AccuRead	Yes	<b>Comment:</b> The use of the word effective in the second bullet point in the Proposed Solution: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Settlement data that has been effective in a Final Reconciliation Run</li> </ul> Does this mean that the data was effective as in useful to the settlement data or used just used in settlement date? I think that this should be clearer to avoid doubt. Rule 5 refers to Disconnected Metering Systems: "GVC Cannot be applied for a disconnected Meter System..." Does this mean any disconnected Metering Systems or ones where the Termination date is post RF?  <b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> NHHDC <b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> This CP will impact processes, especially in terms of processes that have been made using agreements with suppliers.	Yes

		<b>Any other comments:</b> Overall this CP supports all the initial and more important points made by the GVC Consultations.	
<b>EDF Energy</b>	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> NHHDC <b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> Process change <b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact?</b> No	Yes
<b>Western Power Distribution</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<b>IPNL</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<b>SAIC on behalf of: ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd. ScottishPower Generation Ltd. ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd. SP Manweb plc. SP Transmission Ltd. SP Distribution Ltd</b>	Yes	<b>Comments:</b> As stated in our response to DCP0041, at present Scottish Power endeavour to identify and resolve any issues in relation to Settlement processes prior to the potential application of the GVC process. A direct result of this policy has meant minimal use of the GVC process, and the NHHDC would only run it under instruction from Scottish Power Supply business. <b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> None	No
<b>British Energy Generation Limited, British Energy Generation (UK) limited, British Energy Direct Limited, British Energy Trading and Sales Limited, Eggborough Power Limited.</b>	Yes	<b>Comments:</b> The outlined rules greater clarification as when to use GVC to correct erroneous data. <b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> Supplier <b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> Processes	Yes
<b>CE Electric UK</b>	Neutral	No additional comments.	No
<b>Siemens Metering Services</b>	Neutral	<b>Comments:</b> Siemens Metering Services have a neutral view on the limitations on the use of GVC, but would support clarifications on process details. <b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> NHHDC <b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> Process Changes would be required <b>Calendar dates comment:</b> Updates to training documents and processes <b>Adverse impact:</b> No adverse impact. <b>Costs Comment:</b> Minimal costs	Yes

		<p><b>Any other comment:</b> We would welcome some further clarification on the proposed solution:</p> <p>Rule 1 - GVC only where affecting fluid settlement.</p> <p>This solution appears to rule out applying GVC to correct an error which breaks the regulatory limit, starts before DF and ends between DF and RF. Is this the case?</p> <p>Please could clarification be provided on what to do with errors which span the DF date and also ones which fall between DF and RF? Is GVC still permitted for these errors, and what should NHHDCs do with errors past RF which are below the regulatory threshold (as defined in the Elexon Large EACAA Monitoring process), should they apply GVC, normal correction, or write off?</p> <p>Rule 5 - GVC not permitted across change of meter.</p> <p>The wording is a little unclear. We assume this means that a GVC cannot be applied on a new meter to compensate for an error which occurred on old meter. Is this correct?</p> <p>Presumably this includes like-for-like meter changes, because there has still been a natural break in the consumption history?</p> <p>Does this rule out any GVC where a meter change has taken place after the error occurred? Or does it just mean that an error on an old meter must be corrected on the same meter?</p>	
<b>Npower Ltd</b>	Yes	<p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> Supplier/NHHDC</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> System and Processes Impacted</p> <p><b>Adverse impact?</b> No</p>	Yes
<b>E.ON UK Energy Services Ltd</b>	Yes	<p><b>Comment:</b> We agree with all the suggested amendments</p> <p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> NHHDC</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> Minor training</p> <p><b>Adverse impact?</b> No</p>	Yes
<b>British Gas</b>	Yes	<p><b>Comment:</b> We are supportive of the GVC process and agree that the current rules regarding it's use require clarification.</p> <p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> Supplier</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> We currently have situations where we are required to use the GVC process and have designed processes to use the GVC process as currently designed. We would need to review our current processes to ensure the proposed rule changes are taken account of.</p> <p><b>Calendar Days comment:</b> Current processes will require review and amendment</p>	Yes

		<b>Adverse impact?</b> Given the short timescales between final confirmation that the change is to be implemented and the proposed implementation date we are concerned that we would insufficient time to carry out process changes	
--	--	--	--

Comments on redline text

No.	Organisation	Document name (e.g. BSCPXXXX/C oPX)	Location (Section and paragraph numbers)	Severity Code (H/M/L – see below)	Comments by Reviewer
1	Siemens Metering services	BSCP504	Appendix 4.14.3 Use of Gross Volume Correction	M	<p>“GVC cannot be used to compensate for errors across two Meters or two Standard Settlement Configurations (SSC’s)...”</p> <p>Does this rule out applying GVC to an error that occurred on the old meter in all cases where there has been a meter change (because there is no ongoing settlement impact)? Or is this still permitted, provided the error is in the fluid period and the correction is also made on the fluid period, i.e. before the meter change?</p>

## CP1311 - Replacing Erroneous Forward Looking EACs

### Summary of Responses

Organisation	Capacity in which Organisation operates in (Impacted Capacity in Bold as appropriate)	Agreement Yes/No	Days Required to Implement
Central Networks	LDSO	Yes	0
Gemserv	MRASCo Ltd	Neutral	--
Stark Software International Ltd	HHDC/NHHDC/HHDA/NHHDA/NHHDR	Yes	60
TMA Data Management Ltd	HHDC, HHDA, NHHDC, NHHDA	Yes	60
Southern Electric Power Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd; SSE Metering Ltd	Supplier/Generator/ Trader / Party Agent / Distributor	Yes	3 months
EDF Energy Networks (EPN,LPN,SPN) EDF Energy (IDNO) Ltd	LDSO, SMRS, UMSO	Yes	--
E.ON	Supplier	Yes	--
G4S AccuRead	NHHDC, NHHDA, NHHMOA	Yes	91
EDF Energy	Supplier, NHH Agent and HH MOP	Yes	90
Western Power Distribution	LDSO	Yes	--
Independent Power Networks Limited	LDSO, UMSO, SMRA	Yes	--
SAIC on behalf of: ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd. ScottishPower Generation Ltd. ScottishPower Energy Retail	Supplier, LDSO, HHDA, NHHDA, HHDC, NHHDC, HHMOA, NHHMOA	Yes	0

Ltd. SP Manweb plc. SP Transmission Ltd. SP Distribution Ltd			
British Energy Generation Limited, British Energy Generation (UK) limited, British Energy Direct Limited, British Energy Trading and Sales Limited, Eggborough Power Limited.	Generator, Supplier, Trading Party Non Physical	Yes	--
CE Electric UK	LDSO, UMSO	Yes	N/A
Siemens Metering Services	NHHDC, NHHDA, NHHMO, HHDC, HHDA, HHMO	Yes	45
NPower Limited	Supplier, Supplier Agents	Yes	--
E.ON UK Energy Services Limited	NHHDC-DA MOA	Yes	30
British Gas	Supplier	Yes	180

#### Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

Organisation	Agreement Yes/No	Comments	Impact Yes/No
<b>Central Networks</b>	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted?</b> LDSO <b>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes?</b> Yes <b>Impact on Organisation?</b> More stable DF run <b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact?</b> No	No
<b>Gemserv</b>	Neutral	No additional comments	No
<b>Stark Software International Ltd</b>	Yes	<b>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes?</b> Yes <b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted?</b> NHHDC <b>Impact on Organisation?</b> System Change & Process Change <b>Details of the associated costs?</b>	Yes
<b>TMA Data Management Ltd</b>	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted?</b> NHHDC	Yes

		<b>Impact on Organisation?</b> System (EAC/AA module) and processes <b>Details of the associated costs?</b> Medium impact	
<b>Southern Electric Power Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd; SSE Metering Ltd</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	Yes
<b>EDF Energy Networks (EPN,LPN,SPN) EDF Energy (IDNO) Ltd</b>	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> LDSO	No
<b>E.ON</b>	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> Supplier and DC <b>Impact on Organisation:</b> Medium system impact <b>Other comments:</b> E.ON would choose to follow the optional process	Yes
<b>G4S AccuRead</b>	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> NHHDC <b>Impact on Organisation:</b> Processes <b>Calendar Days comment:</b> This change may take longer to plan / implement as it will also impact Gain processes. <b>Adverse impact?</b> No	Yes
<b>EDF Energy</b>	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> NHHDC <b>Impact on Organisation:</b> System and process changes <b>Adverse impact?</b> We feel that this could just be fitted into this release, but would require final notification by end of October, to account for Christmas and New Year.	Yes
<b>Western Power Distribution</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<b>IPNL</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<b>SAIC on behalf of: ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd. ScottishPower Generation Ltd. ScottishPower Energy</b>	Yes	<b>Comments:</b> As stated in the response to DCP0042 Scottish Power endeavour to identify and resolve any issues in relation to Settlement processes prior to the potential application of the GVC process. A direct result of this policy has meant minimal use of the GVC process, and the NHHDC would only run it under instruction from Scottish Power Supply business. However, while Scottish Power believes that the proposed solution offers a resolution to the issue, it is felt that use of the GSP Group Profile Class	No



<b>Retail Ltd. SP Manweb plc. SP Transmission Ltd. SP Distribution Ltd</b>		Average EAC Table would provide a more accurate reflection as to the most appropriate EAC to be used in this process. <b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> none	
<b>British Energy Generation Limited, British Energy Generation (UK) limited, British Energy Direct Limited, British Energy Trading and Sales Limited, Eggborough Power Limited.</b>	Yes	<b>Comments:</b> Negative EACS are erroneous therefore replacing them with the class average EAC is an ideal solution. <b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> Supplier <b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> Processes	Yes
<b>CE Electric UK</b>	Yes	<b>Calendar Days comment:</b> N/A <b>Adverse Effect?</b> No adverse impact would be noted <b>Any other comments:</b> CE agree with this proposal but we strongly suggest that its scope be extended to look at very small EAC values which are suspected to be erroneous, the purpose of this would be to ensure that we do not over write any genuinely low EACs.	No
<b>Siemens Metering Services</b>	Yes	<b>Comments:</b> Siemens Metering Services support this change, as it will benefit GVC and Read validation processes, and improve accuracy of deemed readings. <b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> NHHDC <b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> Process Changes <b>Calendar dates comment:</b> Documentation and process changes <b>Adverse impact:</b> No adverse impact. <b>Any other comment:</b> We believe that this solution simplifies the GVC process as it will no longer be necessary to replace negative EAC's individually. It will improve the validation failure rate resulting from negative EAC's, and eliminate the negative signed read problem, where a deemed read < 0. This should also improve the accuracy of deemed readings, as a deemed reading based on the current EAC will always be a positive advance on the previous reading.	Yes
<b>Npower Ltd</b>	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> Supplier/NHHDC <b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> System and Processes Impacted <b>Adverse impact?</b> No	Yes
<b>E.ON UK Energy Services</b>	Yes	<b>Comment:</b> We agree with all the suggested amendments	Yes

Ltd		<p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> NHHDC</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> Minor training and centralised EAC/AA update</p> <p><b>Adverse impact?</b> No</p>	
British Gas	Yes	<p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> Supplier</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> System/process changes.</p> <p><b>Calendar Days comment:</b> We would need to ensure our agents systems can meet the new requirements</p> <p><b>Adverse impact?</b> Our agents do not believe they can make the required changes in the stipulated timescales</p>	Yes

## CP1312 - Use of Gross Volume Correction in Post Final Settlement Runs

### Summary of Responses

Organisation	Capacity in which Organisation operates in (Impacted Capacity in Bold as appropriate)	Agreement Yes/No	Days Required to Implement
Central Networks	LDSO	Yes	0
Gemserv	MRASCo Ltd	Neutral	--
Stark Software International Ltd	HHDC/NHHDC/HHDA/NHHDA/NHHDR	Yes	60
TMA Data Management Ltd	HHDC, HHDA, NHHDC, NHHDA	Yes	30
Southern Electric Power Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd; SSE Metering Ltd	Supplier/Generator/ Trader / Party Agent / Distributor	Yes	0
EDF Energy Networks (EPN,LPN,SPN) EDF Energy (IDNO) Ltd	LDSO, SMRS, UMSO	Yes	--
E.ON	Supplier	Yes	--
G4S AccuRead	NHHDC, NHHDA, NHHMOA	Yes	--
EDF Energy	Supplier, NHH Agent and HH MOP	Yes	0
Independent Power Networks Limited	LDSO, UMSO, SMRA	Yes	--
SAIC on behalf of: ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd. ScottishPower Generation Ltd. ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd. SP Manweb plc.	Supplier, LDSO, HHDA, NHHDA, HHDC, NHHDC, HHMOA, NHHMOA	Yes	0

SP Transmission Ltd. SP Distribution Ltd			
British Energy Generation Limited, British Energy Generation (UK) limited, British Energy Direct Limited, British Energy Trading and Sales Limited, Eggborough Power Limited.	Generator, Supplier, Trading Party Non Physical	Yes	--
CE Electric UK	LDSO, UMSO	Neutral	--
Siemens Metering Services	NHHDC, NHHDA, NHHMO, HHDC, HHDA, HHMO	Yes	45
NPower Limited	Supplier, Supplier Agents	Yes	--
E.ON UK Energy Services Limited	NHHDC-DA MOA	Yes	30
British Gas	Supplier	Yes	180

#### Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

Organisation	Agreement Yes/No	Comments	Impact Yes/No
<b>Central Networks</b>	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted?</b> LDSO <b>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes?</b> Yes <b>Impact on Organisation?</b> More stable DF run <b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact?</b> No	No
<b>Gemserv</b>	Neutral	No additional comments.	No
<b>Stark Software International Ltd</b>	Yes	<b>Impact on Organisation's Systems and/or Processes?</b> Yes <b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted?</b> NHHDC/NHHDA <b>Impact on Organisation?</b> System Changes/Process Changes <b>Details of the associated costs?</b>	Yes
<b>TMA Data Management Ltd</b>	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted?</b> NHHDC <b>Impact on Organisation?</b> Processes <b>Details of the associated costs?</b> Medium impact	Yes

<b>Southern Electric Power Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd; SSE Metering Ltd</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<b>EDF Energy Networks (EPN,LPN,SPN)</b>	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> LDSO	No
<b>E.ON</b>	Yes	No additional comments	No
<b>G4S AccuRead</b>	Yes	<b>Comment:</b> This is something we already do.	No
<b>EDF Energy</b>	Yes	No additional comment.	No
<b>IPNL</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<b>SAIC on behalf of: ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd. ScottishPower Generation Ltd. ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd. SP Manweb plc. SP Transmission Ltd. SP Distribution Ltd</b>	Yes	<b>Comments:</b> As stated in our response to DCP0043, Scottish Power endeavour to identify and resolve any issues in relation to Settlement processes prior to the potential application of the GVC process. However, Scottish Power do support the proposed solution put forward from the TDC. <b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> None	No
<b>British Energy Generation Limited, British Energy Generation (UK) limited, British Energy Direct Limited, British Energy Trading and Sales Limited, Eggborough Power Limited.</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	Yes/No
<b>CE Electric UK</b>	Neutral	No additional comments.	No
<b>Siemens Metering Services</b>	Yes	<b>Comments:</b> Siemens Metering Services support the simplification of rules on where a	Yes

		<p>GVC should be applied.</p> <p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> NHHDC</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> Process</p> <p><b>Calendar dates comment:</b> Documentation and process changes</p> <p><b>Adverse impact:</b> No adverse impact.</p>	
<b>Npower Ltd</b>	Yes	<p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> Supplier/NHHDC</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> System and Processes Impacted</p> <p><b>Adverse impact?</b> No</p>	Yes
<b>E.ON UK Energy Services Ltd</b>	Yes	<p><b>Comment:</b> We agree with all the suggested amendments</p> <p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> NHHDC</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> Training requirement</p> <p><b>Adverse impact?</b> No</p> <p><b>Any other comments:</b> Could you clarify what / if there will be a cross-over period for the change in our processing?</p>	Yes
<b>British Gas</b>	Yes	<p><b>Comment:</b> We support this change but believe this should be implemented in conjunction with CP1310 and CP1311</p> <p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> Supplier</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> System/process changes.</p> <p><b>Calendar Days comment:</b> We would need to ensure our agents systems can meet the new requirements</p> <p><b>Adverse impact?</b> Our agents do not believe they can make the required changes in the stipulated timescales</p>	Yes

**CP1313 - Remove ELEXON from the Minimum Eligible Amount (MEA) request process**

Summary of Responses

Organisation	Capacity in which Organisation operates in (Impacted Capacity in Bold as appropriate)	Agreement Yes/No	Days Required to Implement
Gemserv	MRASCo Ltd	Neutral	--
Stark Software International Ltd	HHDC/NHHDC/HHDA/NHHDA/NHHDR	Neutral	--
TMA Data Management Ltd	HHDC, HHDA, NHHDC, NHHDA	Yes	--
Southern Electric Power Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd; SSE Metering Ltd	Supplier/Generator/ Trader / Party Agent / Distributor	Yes	0
EDF Energy Networks (EPN,LPN,SPN) EDF Energy (IDNO) Ltd	LDSO, SMRS, UMSO	Yes	--
E.ON	Supplier	Yes	--
G4S AccuRead	NHHDC, NHHDA, NHHMOA	Neutral	--
EDF Energy	Supplier, NHH Agent and HH MOP	Yes	0
Independent Power Networks Limited	LDSO, UMSO, SMRA	Yes	--
SAIC on behalf of: ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd. ScottishPower Generation Ltd. ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd. SP Manweb plc. SP Transmission Ltd.	Supplier, LDSO, HHDA, NHHDA, HHDC, NHHDC, HHMOA, NHHMOA	Yes	0

SP Distribution Ltd			
British Energy Generation Limited, British Energy Generation (UK) limited, British Energy Direct Limited, British Energy Trading and Sales Limited, Eggborough Power Limited.	Generator, Supplier, Trading Party Non Physical	Neutral	--
CE Electric UK	LDSO, UMSO	Neutral	--
Siemens Metering Services	NHHDC, NHHDA, NHHMO, HHDC, HHDA, HHMO	Neutral	--
NPower Limited	Supplier, Supplier Agents	Yes	--
E.ON UK Energy Services Limited	NHHDC-DA MOA	Neutral	--
British Gas	Supplier	Neutral	0

#### Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

Organisation	Agreement Yes/No	Comments	Impact Yes/No
<b>Gemserv</b>	Neutral	No additional comments.	No
<b>Stark Software International Ltd</b>	Neutral	No additional comments.	No
<b>TMA Data Management Ltd</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<b>Southern Electric Power Distribution; Keadby Generation Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd; Medway Power Ltd; SSE Metering Ltd</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<b>EDF Energy Networks (EPN,LPN,SPN) EDF Energy (IDNO) Ltd</b>	Yes	<b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted: N/A</b>	No



<b>E.ON</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<b>G4S AccuRead</b>	Neutral	No additional comments.	No
<b>EDF Energy</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<b>IPNL</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<b>SAIC on behalf of: ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd. ScottishPower Generation Ltd. ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd. SP Manweb plc. SP Transmission Ltd. SP Distribution Ltd</b>	Yes	No additional comments.	No
<b>British Energy Generation Limited, British Energy Generation (UK) limited, British Energy Direct Limited, British Energy Trading and Sales Limited, Eggborough Power Limited.</b>	Neutral	<b>Comments:</b> Taking Elexon out of the loop for confirming returns of credit lodged would provide an efficiency in speeding up the process by (a) reducing a short delay if things go well and (b) reducing a longer delay if Elexon's processing is slow. It would also (c) reduce Elexon's costs but increase the ECVAA's costs. However, the proposal would remove an additional protection for other parties, that Elexon verify the request and that there are no other reasons to hold on to the lodged credit, before money is returned to the party. The timescale for returning credit is quite long anyway (couple of weeks) to eliminate returns due to temporary dips in requirement perhaps by "faltering" parties. We expect Elexon to advise ECVAA immediately a party is in Section H Credit Default or if there is any other reason for declining a request.	No
<b>CE Electric UK</b>	Neutral	No additional comments.	No
<b>Siemens Metering Services</b>	Neutral	No additional comments.	No
<b>Npower Ltd</b>	Yes	<b>Adverse Impact?</b> No	No
<b>E.ON UK Energy Services Ltd</b>	Neutral	No additional comments.	No

<b>British Gas</b>	Neutral	<p><b>Comment:</b> ELEXON should demonstrate the cost of the existing inefficiency in terms of man-days saved by moving to the new process. This would justify that the benefit exceeds the implementation costs</p> <p><b>Capacity in which Organisation is impacted:</b> Supplier</p> <p><b>Impact on Organisation (e.g. systems/process changes):</b> None</p> <p><b>Adverse impact?</b> No</p>	No
--------------------	---------	--	----