<u>Modification Proposal P2: Revision of the Methodology for Assessing Credit Indebtedness</u>

<u>Industry Consultation and Impact Assessment – Pro Forma for Responses</u>

The following table summarises the issues raised in the P2 Requirements Specification (016AAR) and consultation document (005ABU). You are invited to provide a response with your views on the issues raised:

	Question	Response
A	Are there any specific issues which you feel the Modification Group should take into account when deciding whether or not to recommend to the Panel Modification Proposal P2 (as described in sections 2.2 and 3 of the attached document 016AAR). For example, do you believe that any specific feature of this Modification Proposal either facilitates, or fails to facilitate, achievement of the Applicable BSC Objectives?	
В	Are there any specific issues which you feel the Modification Group should take into account when deciding whether or not to recommend to the Panel the Alternative Modification Proposal described in sections 2.3 and 4 of the attached document 016AAR. For example, do you believe that any specific feature of this Modification Proposal either facilitates, or fails to facilitate, achievement of the Applicable BSC Objectives?	
С	To the extent that you feel able to express a view in the absence of any assessment of costs, do you prefer: • Modification Proposal P2 (as described in sections 2.2 and 3 of the attached document 016AAR); • The Alternative Modification Proposal (as described in sections 2.3 and 4 of the attached document 016AAR); or • The existing methodology for assessing credit indebtedness (i.e. no change)?	Delete as appropriate: Modification Proposal P2 / Alternative Modification Proposal / Existing Methodology
D	Are there any other Alternative Modification Proposals that would, in your	

	Question	Response
	view, better achieve the Applicable BSC Objectives? (Note that section 2 of the attached document 005ABU describes the preliminary views of the Modification Group on why a number of the Alternative Modification Proposals described in the P2 Definition Report would not facilitate achievement of these Objectives.)	
E	Section 2.4 of the attached document 016AAR raises the question of what additional reporting (if any) would be required, were the methodology for assessing credit indebtedness to be amended.	
	Do you believe that additional reporting of the data used to calculate indebtedness would be required, should P2 or the Alternative Modification Proposal be implemented? If so, what additional data items would be required?	
F	In the event that the Modification Group recommended a solution to the issues raised by Modification Proposal P2 that removed the need to use values of Generation Capacity (GC) and Demand Capacity (DC) in credit checking, would you support the removal of these data items from the BSC, or do you believe that they should be retained for other purposes?	

Please send your responses by 5 p.m. on Tuesday 10^{th} July 2001 to the following e-mail address:

Modifications@elexon.co.uk

Please entitle your e-mail 'P2 Consultation/Assessment'

Thank you.