



## **APPENDIX A: REVISED CONSULTATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Please answer the questions in each section as fully as possible.

### **Section A: Alternative Modification – ‘Reporting Option 1’**

‘Option 1’ would result in a new report, based on the Settlement Report (i.e. SAA-I014), being produced. The new report, issued weekly in arrears, would contain the following information on metered volumes at the half-hourly level:

- ❑ CVA-registered generation by BMU
- ❑ Demand aggregated by supplier for each GSPG
- ❑ Data relating to directly ‘connected demand sites’ (DCDSs) and CVA-registered ‘Licence Exempt Generators’ (LEGs) would be removed to protect those sites anonymity

The Modification Group convened to consider the proposal believed that this reporting option would be of particular use to non-BSC parties. For example, supplier share of demand within GSPGs would be visible to LEGs.

1. Would this report be of interest to you? If so, why?
2. How much would you be prepared to pay to receive this report on a weekly basis?

### **Section B: Alternative Modification – ‘Reporting Option 2’**

‘Option 2’ would result in a new report, based on the Settlement Report (i.e. SAA-I014), being produced. The new report, issued weekly in arrears, would contain the following information on metered volumes at the half-hourly level:

- ❑ CVA-registered generation by BMU
- ❑ Demand aggregated by supplier (i.e. sum of demand across all GSPGs and all DCDSs registered under a supplier’s name)
- ❑ Data relating to CVA-registered LEGs would be removed to protect those sites anonymity

The Modification Group convened to consider the proposal believed that this reporting option would be of particular use to BSC parties. For example, the report would provide a convenient summary of demand-side data.

1. Would this report be of interest to you? If so, why?
2. How much would you be prepared to pay to receive this report on a weekly basis?

### **Section C: Further Comments**

Please indicate any other issues you would like to bring to the attention of the Modification Group regarding the alternative proposal.