ANNEX 3 - BSC AGENT IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

1.1 An impact assessment was received from the NETA Central Services Provider which is shown below.

	MP/CP/TP No: MP55		
NETA Change Form	Logica reference: ICR170		
Title: BSC Conflicts with Consolidation of Embedded Ge Allocation	neration in Central Volume		
Identified by: Smartest Energy Limited	Date received: 7 th Jan 2002		
Statement of requirement			
Baseline affected: None			
Assumed changes over baseline: NETA Service Definition Baseline (V1.0)			
Description of Change: See attached original MP55			
Proposed solution: See attached original MP55			
Justification for Change: See attached original MP55			
Proposed changes to Service Levels:			
None			
Proposed changes to the Agreement:			
None			
Attachments/references:			
MP55			

To be completed by Logica						
	High Level Impact	Detailed Level Impact	Quotation			
	Assessment	Assessment				
Tick which stage is being completed:	✓					
Signed by Logica Contract Manager:						
Date:	14 th Jan 2002					
HLIA category: Small/Mediu	ım/ Large/Other	Price for DLIA:	To be advised			
If this is a Quotation, are consequential modifications needed to the DLIA? N/A						

Logica's proposal

Logica's understanding of the requirement:

This HLIA considers the relevant aspects of the attached MP55 and Modification Consultation document. In particular, those issues associated with the development, implementation and operation of this proposal together with a specific assessment of:

- 1. The extent to which the Logica consortium (using the existing process defined in BSCP 68) is able to manage the migration of varying levels of Export only metering systems. This assessment should consider the simultaneous transfer of varying volumes i.e. 50, 100, 150, and 200 sites both in accordance with existing BSCP timescales and identify opportunities for adopting accelerated timescales. This assessment should also highlight any amendment to the current costs for operating this process.
- The development, implementation and operation of the revised migration process as outlined in the consultation document. This should assess development and operational costs and timescales together with any volumetric assumptions and constraints.

Logica's proposed design solution:

The main issues with Modification Proposal MP55 are concerned with the mechanism and volumes associated with the transfer. Based on volume assumptions that envisaged very low levels of registration activity, a manual approach to registration was taken for go live. It should be noted that Logica are still expecting a change proposal for BSCP68 as this was inadvertently added as a non-material difference to the URS.

The existing processes and current staffing levels will only support up to a maximum of 50 simultaneous site transfers, provided overtime is worked. The tasks involved are not solely paperwork related, but also require staff to visit the sites (sealing meters etc). It would not be possible to handle the higher volumes without IMServ transferring staff from other areas of NETA and training them to handle registrations as the registration activities are activities which could not be performed by temporary staff. This would place at risk the underlying NETA services that are provided in these other areas.

We have estimated that handling the simultaneous transfer of 200 sites would require around 3 additional full-time staff that would each require around two weeks of training. The risk of errors occurring in the registration process would also increase. All of these factors will mean that as the volume of simultaneous site transfers increases, the price per transfer will also increase and a change to the current pricing structure would be necessary for this activity.

This assessment has assumed that each transfer requires the registration of the following:

- BM Unit
- metering system
- · meter technical details
- Aggregation rules for both BM Units and GSP groups

IMServ have proposed that the transfers are managed by ELEXON in the same way as the PEF

transfer scheme.					
Consequential changes to Project Deliverables:					
Consequential impact on BSC Service Users or Other Service Providers:					
Testing strategy:					
n/a					
Management plan for developing the Change:					

Р	roject plan for developing the Cl	nange:				
М	ethod of deployment:					
	Patch/Release n/a	ls a planned out	age requ	uired? n/a		
Ρ	rice for Design and Build:	•				
	Item description:	Price		Type of price:		
		n/a		n/a		
Р	rice for Operate and Maintain:	•				
	Item description:	Price		Type of price:		
	Operate	£1500 registration.	рег	Indicative Based on around 20 transfers permonth as originally envisaged. It should be noted that this price would increase as volumes rise, due to overtime, training and the increase in the number of errors.		
	Maintain	n/a		n/a		
lf	this is a DLIA or Quotation, is a pri	ce breakdown in	the agre	eed format attached? Yes/No		
	erms attaching to the offer					
	Validity period of offer: 30 days		Type of	f offer: Indicative		
	Assumed start date:		I			
	Payment milestones: Logica will invoice on a T&M basis.					
	Document turnaround time: 5 days	··				
	Impact on Service Levels: Potentially, for high volume registr	ation.				
	Impact on performance of the S	ystem:				
	Other terms:					
lf	this is a Quotation, is a draft contra	act amendment a	ttached'	? Yes/No		
R	esponsibilities of ELEXON:					

Assumptions made by Logica:

- Price does not include provision for indexation of daily rates with effect from 1st April 2002.
- The Service Description will have been updated by ELEXON and agreed with Logica prior to commencement of work.
- For all formal documentation which is subject to review, Logica shall provide one draft issue and a maximum of 5 working days has been allowed for ELEXON to review and comment on the updates. No allowance is included for addressing comments from ELEXON and only one iteration of all reviewed documents has been included in the price.
- Within reasonable levels, ELEXON will make available appropriate staff to assist Logica during the development of this change.

Options and alternatives:			
-			