

Modification Proposal P57: Amendment to the Failing Supplier Process to Allow a Legal Entity to have 2 Party Ids for a Short Period

Final Industry Consultation

1 Summary

- 1.1 Modification proposal P57 'Amendment to the Failing Supplier Process to Allow a Legal Entity to have Two Party IDs for a Short Period' was raised by BGT on the 3 December, 2001 and the Panel subsequently agreed that the Modification proposal should be treated as urgent. Further consideration, however, determined that time scales need not be any shorter than that applied to non-urgent Modification proposals.
- 1.2 Modification Proposal P57 seeks to allow a Replacement Supplier to adopt the Failing Supplier's Party Id. This would result in the Replacement Supplier having 2 Party Ids, and the failing Supplier would be issued with a new Party Id (see attached interim report, section 3).
- 1.3 The Modification Group met on 08 January 2002 and decided that the Interim Report should take the form of an Assessment Report to detail any impact on the Code, BSC Systems and Parties. An initial consultation document was also prepared with an explanation of the issues discussed at this Modification Group meeting.
- 1.4 The majority of responses received to the consultation were in support of P57 subject to further analysis of P57's impact on the Code and BSC Systems.
- 1.5 On 30 January 2002, a second meeting of the Modification Group was held where a review of the Code and the impact of P57 on BSC System were presented. The Modification Group recognised that the introduction of the P57 would require significant development of BSC Systems, particularly given that these systems, like the BSC itself were all developed on the basis that there would only ever be one identifier per Party. The Modification Group concluded that the proposal did not better achieve the applicable BSC Objectives and should, therefore, be rejected. The Modification Group further suggested a final consultation on P57, as the extra analysis had revealed the true impact of P57 that had not been highlighted in the previous consultation document.
- 1.6 The interim report was submitted to the February Panel and the Panel agreed with the recommendations of the Modification Group to reject P57. Please refer to the attached interim report, which also includes a comparison of the proposed P57 process compared to the current process.

2 Way Forward

- 2.1 Parties are invited to comment on whether they agree with the conclusions of the Modification Group and the Panel that P57 does not better facilitate the Applicable BSC Objectives.
- 2.2 Attached to this note is a pro-forma with the above issue raised. You are invited to provide a response with your views on this issue.
Please send your responses by close of business on Thursday 28^h January 2002 to the following e-mail address: Modifications@exxon.co.uk
Please entitle your e-mail 'P57 Final Urgent Consultation'.

Any queries or questions on the content of this consultation should be addressed to Helen Bray (helen.bray@elexon.co.uk) at ELEXON.

3 Modification Group Members

Name	Organisation
Peter Davies / Justin Andrews	ELEXON (Chairman)
Helen Bray / Jason Brogden / Richard Humphreys	ELEXON
David Hicks	Central Services Provider
Simon Hadlington / Rob Cullender / Danielle Lane	British Gas Trading
Bob Brown	St Clements
Richard Harrison	Npower
Paul Jones	Powergen
Neil Magill	Scottish Power
Chris Pooley	Campbell Carr
Phil Russell	TXU
Clare Talbot	NGC
Paul Chesterman	London
Jerome Williams / Patrick Smart / Catherine Monaghan	Ofgem