



Summary of Background and Changes to the Legal Text for Modification Proposals P34, P34 Alternative, P36, P61 and P71

P34 'Transfer of Imbalances Caused by Balancing Services to NGC' and P71 'Transfer of Imbalances Caused by Balancing Services to NGC'

The same error occurs in the proposed legal text in respect of P34, P34 Alternative and P71. In all three cases, Section Q of the BSC refers to the term "Applicable Balancing Services Volume Data". This term is used quite extensively throughout the text. However, in the proposed revisions to Annex X-1 of the BSC, this term has been defined as "Applicable Balancing Services Adjustment Data" whereas it should read "Applicable Balancing Services Volume Data".

P36 'The Generation of Bid-Offer Acceptances Relating to Energy Delivered as a Result of Providing Applicable Balancing Services' – Alternative Modification

For the new definitions to be inserted into Annex X-1:

"Applicable Balancing Services Bid Acceptance Data" should read "Applicable Balancing Services Bid Acceptance Volume Data". This change does not need to flow through to the main text as it already uses the latter.

"Applicable Balancing Services Offer Acceptance Data" should read "Applicable Balancing Services Offer Acceptance Volume Data". This change should also flow through into Section Q 6.5.2.

P61 'Ad Hoc Adjustments to Settlement Involving Material Errors Without Resorting to Ad Hoc Settlement Runs'

The amended wording in paragraph 2.2.3 (f) of Section U does not capture the intention of the Modification Report as clearly as desirable. As drafted, the text would work, however it is not totally clear, and if it were to be applied at some stage in the future, there is the potential for confusion. It is proposed that the following minor changes be made to the legal text. This would clarify that 2.2.3(f)(i) applies to all Trading Charges that might be paid in part to a Party by way of an extra-settlement amount, and that 2.2.3(f)(ii) applies to the amount payable by some Parties by way of interim proportion (related to Daily Party Residual Settlement Cashflow).

Below is the proposed revised legal text:

- (f) without prejudice to the generality of paragraph (e), subject to paragraph (g), unless the Final Reconciliation Settlement Run and related Final Reconciliation Volume Allocation Run for the relevant Settlement Day have already taken place, such determination may (where appropriate in the Panel's opinion) be made so that:

- (i) extra-settlement amount(s), so far as relating to trading charge(s) being amounts payable to Trading Parties or the Transmission Company, are determined and payable only as to a proportion (the "**interim proportion**") of the amounts that would be payable on the basis of an exact determination of the relevant Trading Charge(s) in accordance with the Code; and
- (ii) extra settlement amounts so far as relating to Daily Party Residual Settlement Cashflow payable by Trading Parties, are determined for and payable by some Trading Parties only (each an "**included**" Trading Party)

where "**extra-settlement amounts**" means the amounts payable pursuant to paragraph (b) by way of Ad-Hoc Trading Charges pursuant to an Extra-Settlement Determination;

- (g) where a determination is made in accordance with paragraph (f): ...

Also, under Section P, the relevant clause is 6.5 and 6.5.1 (not 6.1 and 6.1.1 as in the drafting). This was an error that occurred due to automatic renumbering.