



## P207 Party and Party Agent Impact Assessment Responses (CPC00599)

5 responses were received.

	Question	Scottish and Southern Energy plc	IMServ Europe Ltd	E.ON UK Energy Services Limited	British Energy group	Scottish Power
1	<b>Would the Proposed Modification, as outlined in section 2 and section 3.11 of the attached Second P207 Assessment Procedure Consultation, impact your organisation?</b>	Yes.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	<b>If yes, please provide a description of the impact, any costs incurred, and the implementation timescales</b>	In the short term, the implementation of this Mod will have an initial impact in terms of education and training. In the long term there will be an operational impact in the execution	The proposal would impact our business in terms of operational costs of monitoring and managing the process which may require the development of a database to support this. We anticipate that, as the "largest"	We are unable to make an assessment of the likely impact on our organisation	BE will require 90 days to review and update internal processes and documentation.	Once implemented it is expected that ScottishPower will be better able to efficiently allocate it resources in tackling

	<b>Question</b>	<b>Scottish and Southern Energy plc</b>	<b>IMServ Europe Ltd</b>	<b>E.ON UK Energy Services Limited</b>	<b>British Energy group</b>	<b>Scottish Power</b>
	<b>required:</b>	of the Performance Assurance techniques but the full extent of the impact will only be known when the Risk Evaluation Registers and Operating Plans are published.	<p>HHDC/DA we would automatically be classified as a "potential risk to Settlement", as is currently the case with ELEXON in agreeing the scope and frequency of the audit of this role. This classification is applied irrespective of previous performance as it is deemed that any issue found would impact Settlements significantly. We therefore believe that any "significant" player will not see a reduction in the processes surrounding performance related activities in respect of their business.</p> <p>In addition, the new process will require an increased level of interaction by the Market, in : -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) providing consultation responses</li> <li>b) attending meetings in order to keep abreast/updated with developments</li> <li>c) development of any additional/amendments to current KPIs/PARMS serials.</li> </ul> <p>It is not possible to quantify these costs at this stage as detailed information is not yet available regarding these processes however we believe that this will exceed</p>	as the impact will be dictated by the Assessment of Risk and the development of Risk Management Plans by PAB		the issues most affecting Settlements. Given our processes we already have in place to manage risks and issues we do not anticipate any additional workload from P207. Education on the changes P207 presents will be carried out by staff already in place to manage change and therefore presenting zero margin cost.

	<b>Question</b>	<b>Scottish and Southern Energy plc</b>	<b>IMServ Europe Ltd</b>	<b>E.ON UK Energy Services Limited</b>	<b>British Energy group</b>	<b>Scottish Power</b>
			current costs.			
3	<b>Any other comments:</b>	No	No	No	The introductory descriptive text for this Change Proposal Circular says 'P207 suggests that under the new arrangements two Panel Committees would be in operation; one committee would deal with risk evaluation and its role would be to identify, assess and prioritise risks; and the other committee would be responsible for risk assurance and would operate the PAF by choosing how to deploy assurance techniques against those risks identified by the risk evaluation committee.' This is misleading, as the original proposal only indicated this as a possible solution, and	No

	<b>Question</b>	<b>Scottish and Southern Energy plc</b>	<b>IMServ Europe Ltd</b>	<b>E.ON UK Energy Services Limited</b>	<b>British Energy group</b>	<b>Scottish Power</b>
					the final proposal does not propose two committees.	