P232 Impact Assessment Responses

No	Company
1.	E.ON UK
2.	SAIC on behalf of: ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd. ScottishPower Generation Ltd. ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd. SP Manweb plc. SP Transmission Ltd. SP Distribution Ltd

Question 1: Would Proposed Modification P232, as outlined in the attached Requirements Specification, impact your Organisation?

Summary

Yes	No	Neutral/Other
2		

Respondent	Response	Rationale
E.ON UK	Yes	--
SAIC on behalf of:	Yes	--

Question 2: Would Alternative Modification P232, as outlined in the attached Requirements Specification, impact your Organisation?

Yes	No	Neutral/Other
2		

Respondent	Response	Rationale
E.ON UK	Yes	--
SAIC on behalf of:	Yes	--

© ELEXON Limited 2009

Question 3: **If impacted by the P232 Proposed Modification, please provide (in a reasonable level of detail) a description of:**

- **The impact, and any resulting changes required to your systems and/or processes;**
- **The costs of the above, broken down if possible between the different areas of impact; and**
- **The timescales which your organisation would require to implement the Proposed Modification (from an Authority decision).**

Respondent	Response	Rationale
E.ON UK	--	Updating our processes to incorporate the P232 solution should involve minimal impact and costs. In the event of a Black Start or Fuel Security Code period arising, the benefit of the Alternative modification would be to enable a detailed claim to be delayed until the relevant information was available.
SAIC on behalf of:	--	Impacts are limited to changes and additions to our LWI's and procedures. Costs will be minimal, as will the timescales (1 day).

Question 4: **If impacted by the P232 Alternative Modification, please provide (in a reasonable level of detail) a description of:**

- **The impact, and any resulting changes required to your systems and/or processes;**
- **The costs of the above, broken down if possible between the different areas of impact; and**
- **The timescales which your organisation would require to implement the Proposed Modification (from an Authority decision).**

Respondent	Response	Rationale
E.ON UK	--	As with the Proposed, updating our processes for P232 Alternative should involve minimal impact and costs. In the case of an actual compensation claim being lodged the Proposed would provide the certainty of a fixed time period in which to provide further evidence but the disadvantage that such evidence could not be used to support amending the claim already made. Hence the flexibility of the Alternative seems preferable.
SAIC on behalf of:	--	As for the Proposed.

© ELEXON Limited 2009