# **Consultation Approach**

The consultation exercise was structured around a series of questions. These questions sought to cover all issues raised in the Definition Report. In addition, however, the questions also sought to cover a series of additional points raised both by the Panel and the GMG and included in the consultation document. The pro-forma which set down the questions put to consultation was as follows:

# RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION ON MODIFICATION PROPOSAL P28: REVIEW OF GOVERNANCE AND MODIFICATION PROCEDURES

Name:	
Company:	
Other companies represented:	
Contact details:	

#### **CONSOLIDATED PROPOSAL**

Q1: Do you believe that the consolidated proposal, as a package, better achieves the Applicable BSC Objectives? If so, which objectives are better achieved and why? If you do not believe that the consolidated proposal better achieves BSC Objectives, please explain why not.

[Please type your answer here]

## POTENTIAL ALTERNATIVES

Q2: Do you believe that initiatives to improve the implementation of the arrangements as currently described in the Code would better achieve the Applicable BSC Objectives than a modification to the current Code rules themselves? Do you have any specific initiatives that you believe should be considered?

[Please type your answer here]

Q3: Are there any elements of the consolidated proposal that should be changed (or removed), such that an improved proposal emerges?				
Element of Proposal		nt of Proposal	Your Views [Please type your answers in the column below]	
	Panel;			
	a)	All non-confidential Panel business to be held in open session		
	b)	Any Panel Member to be able to invite comment from the floor		
2)	) Panel Committees;			
	a)	ISG and SVG to operate in the same way to the Panel.		
3)	Mc	dification Groups;		
	a)	Standing Groups to deal with Modifications in general areas		
	b)	Standing Groups to consider issues in advance of specific Modification Proposals being raised		
	c)	All Standing and Modification Groups to be open, i.e. no core membership, self-selecting, no impartiality and, as a consequence, no indemnity		
	d)	ELEXON to be responsible to the Panel for delivery of reports etc.		
4) Modific		dification Procedures;		
	a)	Evaluation procedure to replace Definition and Assessment		
	b)	Only one mandatory consultation		
	c)	Panel recommendation to be made after consultation		
	d)	Content of report to OFGEM is unchanged		
	e)	Urgent Modification arrangements unchanged		

Q4: Do you believe that there are changes within the scope of this Modification Proposal, other than those described above, that would better achieve the Applicable BSC Objectives? Please describe these, stating which objectives are better achieved and why.

[Please type your answer here]

### IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED MODIFICATION

Q5: What impact would the consolidated proposal have on your systems and processes and what is the minimum notice required to implement such a change? If you have identified an alternative approach, does this alternative impact differently on your systems and processes?

[Please type your answer here]